

APHC010290662026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3520]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15309/2026

Between:

1. SMT. CHENNAREDDYGARI ANKALAMMA ALIS ANITHA, W/O CHENNAREDDYGARI RAMA MOHAN REDDY, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE, R/O H.NO. 30-726-A9, BOMMALASATRAM, NANDYAL TOWN, NANDYAL DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPT., SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI - 522237,
2. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KURNOOL RANGE, KURNOOL, ANDHRA PRADESH - 518004.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL DISTRICT, NANDYAL AP-518501.
4. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, NANDYAL DISTRICT, NANDYAL, AP-518501.
5. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR, , NANDYAL I TOWN PS, NANDYAL, AP-518501.
6. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR, NANDYAL II TOWN PS, NANDYAL, AP-518501.
7. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, NANDYAL I TOWN PS, NANDYAL

DISTRICT,AP-518501.

8. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, NANDYAL II TOWN PS, NANDYAL DISTRICT, AP-518501.
9. B G RAMESH CHANDRA BABU B G RAMESH, S/O B.G. NARASIMHULU, AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS. BUSINESS, R/O H.NO.1673,ROJAKUNTA STREET, NANDYAL TOWN ND DISTRICT, AP-518501.
- 10.B G SATEESH CHANDRA BABU B G SATEESH, S/O B.G. NARASIMHULU, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, R/O H.NO.1673, ROJAKUNTA STREET, NANDYAL TOWN, NANDYAL DISTRICT,AP-518501.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ or direction, more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of respondents 3 to 8 in calling the petitioner to the police station, threatening her to vacate possession of property an extent of Ac.0-59 cents situated in Sy.No. 419/2 of Moolasagaram Village,Nandyal Urban Mandal, Nandyal District, and interfering in the civil dispute under the influence of the unofficial respondents as illegal arbitrary, without jurisdiction, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere the possession of petitioners property not to call the petitioner to the police station, not to threaten her with eviction, not to coerce her to vacate the property of an extent of Ac.0-59 cents situated in Sy.No. 419/2 of Moolasagaram Village, Nandyal Urban Mandal, Nandyal District, and not to interfere in any manner in the civil dispute i.e. OS.No.12 of 2022 pending before the III Addl.Distract judge at Nandyal and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with the possession of the petitioner's property an extent of Ac.0-59 cents situated in Sy.No.419/2 of Moolasagaram Village, Nandyal Urban Mandal, Nandyal District ,not to call the petitioner to the police station, not to threaten her with eviction, not to

coerce her to vacate the property and not to interfere in any manner in the civil dispute i.e. OS.No.12 of 2022 pending before the III Addl. District judge, at Nandyal, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. B S REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA**WRIT PETITION NO: 15309/2026****ORDER:**

The writ petitioner prayed for a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondent Nos.3 to 8 not to interfere with the civil litigation at the instance of respondent Nos.9 and 10 touching the property in an extent of Ac.0.59 cents situated in Sy.No.419/2 of Moolasagaram Village, Nandyal Urban Mandal, Nandyal District and the subject matter is covered by O.S.No.12 of 2022 on the file of learned III Additional District Judge, Nandyal.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for the respondent-police.

3. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions of 5th respondent-Circle Inspector, Nandyal I town Police Station, would submit that the police has no jurisdiction to adjudicate the disputes relating to title, ownership, possession or boundary issues etc., and they have not taken any action favouring either party in the civil disputes alleged. No coercive action was taken against the petitioner also and several respondents are unnecessarily added though the subject matter does not pertain to the jurisdiction of the police stations and it falls only under the limits of III Town Police Station of Nandyal.

4. By placing the written instructions on record, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents not to interfere with the civil disputes

between the parties. However, this order will not come in the way if any complaint touching the criminal nature is received. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA, J

Date: 11.06.2026

ARR

12

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15309/2026

Date: 11.06.2026

ARR