



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 15287/2026

Between:

Challamalla Udaya Chandra

...PETITIONER

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.GUNDA GOPI KRISHNA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri Gunda Gopi Krishna, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Panuku Rajesh Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj appearing for respondents 1, 3 & 5, Sri M. Chandra Sekhar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondents 2, 4 & 6 and Sri Y. Koteswara Rao, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.7.

Assailing the notice dated 30.05.2026 (Ex.P1), issued by the Panchayat Secretary of respondent No.7, the above writ petition was filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the Panchayat Secretary issued notice bearing No.42/2026, dated 30.01.2026 (Ex.P5). Assailing the same, the petitioner has filed W.P.No.3340 of 2026. The said writ petition was disposed of on 05.02.2026. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted an elaborate explanation dated 18.02.2026 (Ex.P6). Without considering the explanation in proper perspective, Ex.P1 notice was issued.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader and learned Standing Counsel seek time to get instructions or to file a counter-affidavit.

Whether the proceedings impugned in the writ petition are legally sustainable will be adjudicated after a comprehensive counter.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the Panchayat Secretary of respondent No.7 shall not take any coercive steps pursuant to Ex.P1 notice dated 30.05.2026, for a period of six (06) weeks.

List the matter on 02.07.2026.

Dated: 11.06.2026
TVN

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J