



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 15284/2026

Between:

Kankipati Surya Prakasha Rao and Others

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

The State Of Andhra Pradesh and Others

...RESPONDENT(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.VALLURU CHETAN SUSHEEL

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri V. Chetan Susheel, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Panuku Rajesh Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj appearing for respondents 1 to 4 and Ms. Kavitha, learned counsel representing Sri M. Sudhir, learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.5.

Assailing the notice *vide* R.C.No.25/2025, dated 25.05.2026 (Ex.P1) issued by the Panchayat Secretary of respondent No.5, the above writ petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the father of the petitioners occupied the land in dispute, several decades back and

constructed a dwelling unit and also planted several trees. After the death of the petitioners' father, the petitioners have been in possession and enjoyment of the aforementioned land. The petitioners made an application for regularization of their occupation on 08.03.2010 (Ex.P2). The then Tahsildar issued an endorsement *vide* Ex.P3, stating that the property in possession of the petitioners is Gramakantam Poramboke and a road margin and hence, it cannot be assigned. However, the petitioners would be given preference while allotting house plots. The petitioners filed W.P.No.28846 of 2016 and later, the same was withdrawn. The petitioners again filed W.P.No.19606 of 2019 to consider their claim for grant of house site patta. Thereafter, the authorities rejected the claim of the petitioner *vide* proceedings dated 21.08.2020 (Ex.P4). Be that as it may, the notice impugned (Ex.P1) was issued.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader and learned Standing Counsel seeks time to get instructions or to file a counter-affidavit.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, the Panchayat Secretary of respondent No.5 shall not take any coercive steps pursuant to Ex.P1 notice, for a period of four (04) weeks.

List the matter on 02.07.2026.

Dated: 11.06.2026
TVN

SUBBA REDDY SATTI, J