



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3520]

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15143 OF 2026

Between:

1. PUCHAKAYALA MUNI SEKHAR, S/O. PUCHAKAYALA MUNEIAH, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, OCC. DAILY LABOUR, R/O. CHEMURU VILLAGE, THOTTAMBEDU MANDAL, TIRUPATI DISTRICT-517536.
2. PUCHAKAYALA MUNEIAH, S/O. LATE PUCHAKAYALA ANKAIAH, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, OCC. DAILY LABOUR, R/O. CHEMURU VILLAGE, THOTTAMBEDU MANDAL TIRUPATI DISTRICT-517536.
3. PUCHAKAYALA RAJESWARI, W/O. PUCHAKAYALA MUNEIAH, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, OCC. DAILY LABOUR, R/O. CHEMURU VILLAGE, THOTTAMBEDU MANDAL, TIRUPATI DISTRICT-517536

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522238.
2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522503.
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, TIRUPATI DISTRICT-517501.
4. K NARASIMHA MURTHY, THE DEPUTY SUPRINTENDENT OF POLICE, SRI KALAHASTI, DSP OFFICE- SRI KALAHASTI, TIRUPATI DISTRICT-517644.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction, particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the 4th Respondent in repeatedly calling the petitioners to his office at Srikalahasti in connection with matrimonial disputes already pending before the competent Court at Venkatagiri and making them remain there for long hours by threatening them to provide money and gold ornaments to the wife of petitioner on the pretext of registration of false criminal cases, in absence of CCTV surveillance, as illegal, arbitrary, mala fide, without jurisdiction and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 22 of the Constitution of India and the directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court regarding CCTV surveillance in police stations and consequently, direct the Respondents particularly Respondent no.4 not to interfere in the matrimonial disputes of the petitioners except in accordance with due process of law and further direct respondents to install CCTV cameras in office of the 4th respondent and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents particularly Respondent no.4 not to interfere in the matrimonial disputes of the petitioners except in accordance with due process of law, particularly without ensuring CCTV surveillance, pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.Y V S S DHARANEESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA**WRIT PETITION NO: 15143 OF 2026****The Court made the following Order:**

The present writ petition is filed seeking Writ of Mandamus directing respondent No.4 not to interfere with the civil dispute between the parties, particularly which is of matrimonial dispute between the 1st petitioner and his wife.

2. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, submits that one Smt. P.Ratanamma, wife of P.Munisekhar (1st petitioner), submitted a representation regarding the differences between herself and the 1st petitioner herein, stating that her gold jewellery is with her husband and family members and that whenever she demands return of the same, she is being ill-treated and disputes have arisen in that connection.

3. It is further submitted that the wife of the 1st petitioner lodged a complaint at Venkatagiri Police Station, which is admitted by the writ petitioners.

4. The learned Assistant Government Pleader further submits that the parties had mediation in the presence of elders and had earlier entered into an agreement and submitted before the Venkatagiri Police Station regarding the gold jewellery issue. However, they did not adhere to the said agreement.

5. *Prima facie*, this matter appears to be a civil dispute between the parties. Therefore, the police are directed not to interfere in the civil dispute

between the parties. However, this order shall not come in the way of the police authorities acting strictly in accordance with law, if complaint discloses commission of any offence.

6. With the above observation, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending and the Interim order granted earlier, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

Date: 11.06.2026

DSV/BSK

25

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A. HARI HARANADHA SARMA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15143 OF 2026

Date: 11.06.2026
DSV/BSK