

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY ,THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF APRIL

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1569 OF 2024

Between:

Datla Venkata Satya Sitha Ramachandra Raju, S/o Anjaneya Varma,
age: 56 yrs, Occ: Business, R/o 68-10/A-21, Rajeswarinagar, Kakinada.

Petitioner/Judgment Debtor/Defendant

AND

1. Sagi Sitarama Raju, S/o Veerabhara Raju, Age: 62 yrs, Occ: Employee,
R/o 68-10/A-21, Rajeswarinagar, Kakinada. (Died)
2. Sagi Venkata Satyavathamma, W/o Sagi Sitarama Raju, Age: 56 yrs,
Occ: Home-maker, R/o 68-10/A-21, Rajeswarinagar, Kakinada.

Respondents/Decree Holders/Plaintiffs

Petition under Section 115 of C.P.C, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to allow the revision petition by setting aside the order dated 26.03.2024 in E.P.No.29 of 2023 in O.S.No.33/2013 on the file of III Additional District Judge, Kakinada, Kakinada District.

IA NO: 2 OF 2024

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings E.P.No.29/2023 in O.S.No.33/2013 in on the file of III Additional District Judge Kakinada during

pendency of petition, Pending disposal of CRP 1569 of 2024, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon the order of the High Court dated 13.02.2026 & 13.03.2026 made herein and hearing the arguments of Sri. G V V S VARA PRASAD, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Sri. P. RAJA SEKHAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.2;

The Court made the following ORDER:

“Ms Prashamsha, learned counsel, representing Sri G.V.V.S.Vara Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioner, appeared through virtual mode while sitting in a car, which is in violation of Guideline No.9 of the Standard Operating Procedure for Video Conferencing/virtual hearing before the High court, which reads as follows:

‘The Advocate/Party in person may login from his office, residence, conference room etc., but not from a vehicle like a car. The remote location should be quiet and have sufficient internet coverage. The Advocate/Party should have the camera switched on during Court proceedings. All participants should endeavour to look into the camera, remain attentive and not engage in any other activity during the proceedings.’

Hence, this Court is inclined to impose costs of Rs.2000/- on her i.e., Rs.1,000/- in each case, payable to the Andhra Pradesh High Court Advocates’ Association within a period of two weeks.

Post on 01.05.2026.

Interim orders granted earlier are extended till then."

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- N.NAGAMMA
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER**

To,

1. The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh High Court Advocates' Association,
High Court of AP (BY SPECIAL MESSENGER)
2. One CC to Sri. G V V S VARA PRASAD, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One CC to Sri. P. RAJA SEKHAR, Advocate [OPUC]
4. **One spare copy**

HIGH COURT

RC,J

DATED: 17/04/2026

POST ON 01.05.2026

ORDER

CRP.No.1569 of 2024

DIRECTION

