

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.14539 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	15.05.2026	<p><u>GTK,J</u></p> <p>Heard Sri S.S.Bhatt, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri K.K.Durga Prasad, learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of Tirupati Urban Development Authority.</p> <p>The petitioner filed the Writ Petition, ventilating his grievance stating that the respondents, particularly respondent No.2-TUDA, are trying to take over the petitioner's land admeasuring Ac.3-22 cents in Sy.No.11 of Avilala Village, Tirupathi Rural Mandal, Tirupati District and trying to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the same and also trying to demolish the structures and houses without following due process.</p> <p>The affidavit, filed in support of the Writ Petition, contains the facts, which disclose that earlier this Court had protected the petitioner from the respondents <i>vide</i> order, dated 04.01.2018, in I.A.No.1 of 2018 in W.P.No.719 of 2018, directing the respondents therein not to interfere with the subject property. The said interim order was vacated on 10.02.2026.</p>	

The learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that he has taken steps for filing a supporting Interlocutory Application and the same is pending. The 2nd respondent, who is not a party to the earlier proceedings, is attempting to demolish the structures taking advantage of the situation that he is not arrayed as a party to the earlier Writ Petition.

Sri K.K.Durga Prasad, learned Standing Counsel, has fairly stated that the 2nd respondent in the present Writ Petition is not interfering with the petitioner's property. He also brought to the notice of this Court that the Writ Petition suffers from principle of *res judicata* under Section 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

Without going into facts and circumstances of this case, this Court deems it proper, in the interest of justice, to take down the instructions orally by the learned Standing Counsel that the respondents are not interfering and in view of the said undertaking, the 2nd respondent shall abstain from interfering with the same. However, if the respondents want to do, they can do so by following due process of law.

Post after Summer Vacation, 2026.

KBN

GTK,J