

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE: WRIT PETITION NO:14417 OF 2023
PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	Office Note
	20.02.2026	<u>VN, J</u> <u>I.A.No.01 of 2024</u> 1. Heard. 2. For the reasons mentioned in the affidavit filed in support of the application, this application is ordered, and the delay in filing the Review Petition is condoned. <u>VN, J</u> <u>I.A.No.02 of 2024</u> 1. The Writ Petition No.14417 of 2023 is filed questioning the action of Respondent No.4 in not entering the name of the Petitioner in Online Revenue Records/Web Land Revenue Records, by deleting the name of Respondent No.6 against Petitioner's land in Sy.No.18/5 (Ac.4.22 Cents) situated at Rajupalem Revenue Village, Veerapunayunipalle Mandal, Kadapa District, despite representation given by the Petitioner dated 09.01.2023, as illegal and arbitrary. 2. In the affidavit filed in support of the Writ Petition, it is stated that earlier, the land in	

		question was an ancestral property which stood in the name of grand-father of the Petitioner i.e., Venkata Ramanna @ Venkata Ramaiah @ Pichikuntala Ramudu. After the demise of the grand-father of the Petitioner, the name of the father of the Petitioner i.e., Kalvaguntla Pichiguntla Nadipi Gangula was mutated in all the revenue records and Pattadar Passbook was also issued in the name of the father of the Petitioner <i>vide</i> Khata.No.141. 3. It was further stated that, after the demise of the father of the Petitioner, the name of the Petitioner got mutated in all the revenue records and Pattadar Passbook was also issued on the name of the Petitioner on 15.05.2015. 4. However, in the year-2021, the name of the Respondent No.6 got mutated in all online revenue records against the land in question. Questioning the same, the Petitioner filed application for mutation of his name in all online revenue records. Thereafter, Petitioner came to know that Respondent No.6 had submitted "Oppudala Statement" on 18.11.2020 to the then Tahsildar (Respondent No.5) with regard to certain documents. 5. It is further stated that Petitioner made application on 18.07.2022 to Respondent No.4,	
--	--	--	--

		seeking to restore the entries in online revenue records. Consequential to the application given by the Petitioner, Respondent No.4 issued notices dated 23.08.2022, 27.09.2022 and 24.11.2022 to Respondent No.6 to attend/present before Respondent No.4. However, Respondent No.6 did not appear before Respondent No.4. 6. Though, the Petitioner had attended an enquiry with all the relevant documents, no further action is being taken thereon. Hence, the present Writ Petition is filed. 7. This Court taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel for the Petitioner, directed the Respondent/Tahasildar to consider the representation given by the Petitioner. The operative portion of the direction is as under:- <i>“Therefore, this Court is inclined to dispose of the writ petition directing the Tahsildar to consider the representation dated 09.01.2023 and pass appropriate orders thereon within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No order as to costs.”</i> 8. Now the present review petition is filed by Respondent No.6. The reason for filing the present review petition is that the father of the Writ Petitioner namely Kalvapalli Nadipi Gangulu along with his two (02) sons namely Kalvapalli Ganganna and Kalvapalli Venkata Ramana (Writ Petitioner) had executed a registered sale deed	
--	--	---	--

	on 09.02.2007 <i>vide</i> Doc.No. 244 of 2007 on the file of SRO, Vempalle with regard to the subject land and this fact was suppressed by the Writ Petitioner. 9. After hearing, this Court issued notice to the Writ Petitioner. The notice in the review petition was served on the Writ Petitioner, however, no counter affidavit was filed denying the claim of Respondent No.6 in the review petition. 10. On 23.10.2024, this Court made it clear that if counter affidavit is not filed within the time specified therein, the application will be decided without reference to the counter affidavit. In spite of the same, no counter affidavit has been filed till date. 11. This Court examined the sale deed dated 09.02.2007 and the Petitioner was shown as Vendor for the subject land. This suppression of critical information warrants review and recall of the order passed in W.P.No.14417 of 2023 dated 09.05.2024. 12. Therefore, the present application is allowed and Writ Petition No.14417 of 2023 is restored to file. _____ VN, J chs	
--	---	--

