



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 14487/2026

Between:

1. KETHU RAVI CHANDRA REDDY, S/O. KETHU RAMA CHANDRA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 32 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. RANGASAIPURAM VILLAGE, CHIRIJUPALLI PANCHAYAT, YERRAGUNTIA MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT - 516004.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JAMMALAMADUGU DIVISION, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, YERRAGUNTIA MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT - 516309.
5. THE VILLAGE REVENUE OFFICER, CHIRRAJUPALLI VILLAGE, YERRAGUNTIA (RURAL) MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT - 516310

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus

declaring the action of the respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 to 5, in interfering with the peaceful possession, enjoyment and livelihood activities of the petitioner land to an extent of Ac.0.6 cents in Survey No.124 of Rangasaipuram Village, Chirijupalli Panchayat, Yerraguntia Mandal, YSR Kadapa District, and in attempting to dispossess the petitioner and remove the temporary shed/cattle shelter without authority of law and contrary to the law laid down by this Honble Court in W.P.No.3428 of 2022 dated 28.09.2022, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Articles 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the petitioners peaceful possession and livelihood activities except by following due process of law, and pass such other order or orders as this Honble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 to 4, not to dispossess the petitioner to cents in Survey No.124 of Rangasaipuram Village, Chirijupalli Panchayat, Yerraguntia Mandal, YSR Kadapa District, and not to demolish temporary shed, cattle shelter and livelihood an extent of Ac.0.6 or remove the structures of the petitioner, pending disposal of the Writ Petition, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.P RAVIKANTH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

“...to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction, more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring

the action of the respondents, particularly Respondent Nos.2 to 5, in interfering with the peaceful possession, enjoyment and livelihood activities of the petitioner in respect of land admeasuring Ac.0.06 cents in Survey No.124 of Rangasaipuram Village, Chirijupalli Panchayat, Yerraguntla Mandal, YSR Kadapa District, and in attempting to dispossess the petitioner and remove the temporary shed/cattle shelter without authority of law and contrary to the law laid down by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.3428 of 2022, dated 28.09.2022, as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Articles 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the petitioner's peaceful possession and livelihood activities except by following due process of law, and pass such other order or orders..."

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that Respondent Nos.2 to 5 are interfering with the peaceful possession and livelihood activities of the petitioner and are attempting to dispossess the petitioner by removing the temporary shed/cattle shelter without any authority of law and without following due process of law.

4. The learned Assistant Government Pleader, on written instructions, submits that the petitioner does not have any right over the disputed property.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the disputed property is Grama Kantam land belonging to the Panchayat, over which Respondent No.2 has no authority.

6. Be that as it may, Respondent Nos.2 to 5, without following due process of law, cannot interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the subject property, nor interfere with the livelihood activities of the petitioner, who has raised the temporary shed/cattle shelter.

7. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the respondents not to interfere with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the petitioner over the subject property and his livelihood activities without following due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

14th May, 2026
CBN/RPD

29

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 14487 of 2026

14th May, 2026

CBN/RPD