

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.14475 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	15.05.2026	<p><u>GTK,J</u></p> <p>Heard Dr.Krishna Prasanna Viatla, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri G.Sai, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Municipal Administration and Urban Development.</p> <p>Aggrieved by the action of the 1st respondent in removing the municipal water tap connection provided to the petitioner's premises bearing Door No.59-10-6/1, Gayatri Nagar, Vijayawada, without issuing any notice and without considering the representation, dated 10.03.2026, the present Writ Petition is filed, contending that the said action is illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India.</p> <p>Going through the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the Writ Petition, the petitioner contends that he is the absolute owner of the subject property, which was acquired by his father through a registered sale deed bearing Document No.5320/1991, dated 05.10.1991, and since then they have been paying property taxes and municipal charges regularly.</p> <p>It is further brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner has preferred C.R.P.No.2895 of 2025 and the same is pending adjudication. The said C.R.P. is filed against the order, dated 11.08.2025, in</p>	Contd...

C.M.A.No.11 of 2021 on the file of the Principal Junior Civil Judge Court, Vijayawada. It clearly reflects that the petitioner herein could not secure positive orders before both the Courts, but it is sufficient to indicate that there exists a dispute between the family members. Be that as it may, the removal of the water supply connection will hamper the very rights of the petitioner embodied under Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India. The recent pronouncements of the Hon'ble Supreme Court categorically affirm that even disconnection or removal of water supply would infringe such rights.

On the other hand, the learned counsel representing the respondents submits that the authorities have not disconnected the water supply. However, he contends that the petitioner has applied for a fresh water supply connection. Though there is no date mentioned on the said application, since the learned counsel for the respondents himself states that an application afresh has been submitted, the respondents are directed to forthwith look into the said application and consider restoration of the water supply, which was disconnected on 05.03.2026.

It is also pointed out by the learned counsel for the respondents that the charges, if any, for the new connection shall be borne by the petitioner.

List the matter after Summer Vacation, 2026.

Pmk

GTK,J