



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3521]**

THURSDAY, THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF MAY  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 14329/2026**

**Between:**

1. K CHINNA LAKKAPPA, S/O LATE LAKSHMANNA @ LAKSHMAIAH,  
AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O. KALUVAPALLI  
VILLAGE, SEVAMANDIR POST, PARIGI MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI  
DISTRICT, ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT - 515212.

**...PETITIONER**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY THE PRINCIPAL  
SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS,  
AT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI - 522237.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT, AT  
PUTTAPARTHI, ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT - 515134.

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PENUKONDA DIVISION,  
PENUKONDA, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR  
DISTRICT - 515301.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, PARIGI MANDAL, SRI SATYA SAI DISTRICT,  
ERSTWHILE ANANTAPUR DISTRICT - 515261

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

**Counsel for the Petitioner:**

1. P NARAHARI BABU

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1. GP FOR REVENUE

**The Court made the following:****ORDER:**

The Writ Petition has been filed for the following relief:

*“...pleased to order, writ or direction more particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus Under Article 226 of the Constitution of India questioning the action of the respondents in seeking dispossession of the petitioner from his property in an extent of Ac.0.60 cents, Ac. 1.20 cents, Ac. 1.80 cents, Ac.0.50 cents in Sy.No.122, Ac.0.45 cents in Sy.No.49-3, Ac.0.90 cents, Ac.0.60 cents in Sy.No.50-3, Ac.0.80 cents, Ac.0.80 cents, Ac.1.56 cents in Sy.No.51-1 situated at Kodigenahalli Village Polam, Parigi Mandal, Sri Sathya Sai District Erstwhile Anantapur District without following any procedure under law and without issuing any notice or any proceedings as illegal, arbitrary and against to principles of natural justice and against to principles of natural justice and violative of Article 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioner from the above said property without following due process of law ...”*

2. Heard learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue Department.
3. Mr. P. Narahari Babu, learned counsel for the Petitioner, submits that without following due process of law, Respondent Nos.3 and 4 have been attempting to dispossess the Petitioner from the schedule property.
4. Sri B. Venkata Satyanarayana, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, on written instructions, submits that O.S.No.14 of 2023 is pending on the file of the learned Senior Civil Judge, Hindupur, between the Petitioner and some third parties. The Petitioner has not impleaded those third parties as Respondents in the present Writ Petition. It is not in dispute that a status quo order has been passed in I.A.No.170 of 2023 in O.S.No.14 of 2023 by the competent Civil Court.

5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, and upon recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the Respondent Nos.3 and 4 not to dispossess the Petitioner from the schedule property without following due process of law.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**Dr.Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J**

Date: 14.05.2026  
PRA

33

**THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 14329 of 2026**

Date: 14.05.2026  
PRA