



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

FRIDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF DECEMBER  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**

**CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 839/2012**

**Between:**

- 1.POTNURU JANARDHANA RAO [DIED], -
- 2.POTNURU DAMAYANTHI, W/O.LATE JANARDHANA RAO  
R/O.SOMPETA, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.
- 3.POTNURU KRISHNA RAO, S/O.LATE JANARDHANA RAO  
R/O.SOMPETA, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.
- 4.POTNURU SAI RAMESH, S/O.LATE JANARDHANA RAO  
R/O.SOMPETA, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

**...APPELLANT(S)**

**AND**

- 1.THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER, ENDOWMENTS DEPARTMENT  
O/O.SRIKAKULAM.
- 2.SRI KODANDA RAMA SWAMY TEMPLE, REP BY ITS EXECUTIVE  
OFFICER O/O.SOMPETA, SRIKAKULAM DISTRICT.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Appeal Under Section\_\_\_\_against orders set aside the order and decree dated 01.03.2012 in OA No.1612/2010 passed by AP Endowments Tribunal at Hyderabad, in the interest of justice

**IA NO: 1 OF 2012(CMAMP 1553 OF 2012)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

suspend the operation of order and decree dated 01.03.2012 in OA No.1612/2010 passed by AP Endowments Tribunal at Hyderabad, pending disposal of main appeal or in the alternative to direct the respondents 1 and 2 not to evict the petitioner/appellant from the scheduled house situated in Survey No.259/2A measuring East-West 112 Feet and South - North 9-5 Feet at Sompet Village, in the interest of justice and equity

**IA NO: 1 OF 2014(CMAMP 482 OF 2014)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 28/8/2012 passed in CMAMP No. 1553 of 2012 in CMA NO. 839 of 2012 and pass

**Counsel for the Appellant(S):**

1.K MANIK PRABHU

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR APPEALS

2.CHINTAPALLI SRINIVAS

3..

**The Court made the following JUDGMENT:**

As there was no representation for the appellant on 11.07.2025, the matter was adjourned to 07.11.2025. On 07.11.2025 there was no representation for the appellant. Hence the matter was directed to be listed on 21.11.2025. On 21.11.2025 also there was no representation for the appellant. Therefore, the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption "*for dismissal*"

Today when the matter is called, there is no representation for the appellant. It appears that the appellant is not interested to prosecute the case.

Hence, the Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**

Dated: 05.12.2025  
RSD

29

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM****CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 839/2012**Dated: 05.12.2025  
RSD