



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3459]**

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 12620/2019**

**Between:**

1. TALARI RAJANNA, S/O T.ROSANNA, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
2. TALARI VEERA OBANNA, S/O ROSANNA, AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS,
3. TALARI OBULESU, S/O MUSALAPPA, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS,
4. PEDA OBAYYAGARI CHINNA OBULESU, S/O KULLAYAPPA ALL ARE AGRICULTURISTS RESIDENTS OF GUGUDU VILLAGE, NARPALA MANDAL, ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT.

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY PRINCIPAL SECRETARY ( REVENUE ) SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS AT AMARAVATHI.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ANANTAPURAM, ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT.
3. THE TAHSILDHAR, NARPALA MANDAL, ANANTAPURAM DISTRICT.

**...RESPONDENT(S):**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue appropriate Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents personnel in attempting to dispossess the petitioners from their Subject Lands i.e., an extent of Ac.1-05 Cents (Pt Petitioner), Ac.1-05 Cents (2nd Petitioner),

Ac.0-53 Cents (3rd Petitioner), Ac.0-13 Cents (4th Petitioner) in Sy.No.421 of Gugudu Village, Narpala Mandal, Anantapuram District as illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of Natural Justice, besides violative of Articles 21, 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents and their office personnel not to dispossess the Petitioner's from their Subject Lands i.e., an extent of Ac.1-05 Cents in (1st Petitioner), Ac.1-05 Cents (2nd-Petitioner), Ac.O-53 Cents in (3rd Petitioner), Ac.0-13 Cents (4th Petitioner) in Sy.No.421 of Gugudu Village, Narpala Mandal, Anantapuram District and pass

**IA NO: 1 OF 2019**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents not to dispossess petitioners "Subject Lands" i.e., an extent of Ac.1-05 Cents (1st Petitioner), Ac.1-05 Cents (2nd Petitioner), Ac.0-53 Cents (3rd Petitioner), Ac.0-13 Cents (4th Petitioner) in Sy.No.421 of Gugudu Village, Narpala Mandal, Anantapuram District in the interest of justice.

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1.O UDAYA KUMAR

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR REVENUE (AP)

**The Court made the following ORDER:**

The petitioners assert that their predecessors hold long standing and continuous possession of the said subject property, admeasuring Ac.8.42 cents, situated in K.No.4 in Survey No.421 of Gugudu Viilage, Narpala Mandal, Ananthapuram District. Following the attempt to dispossess them by one N.Srinivasulu and others, the petitioners filed O.S.No.159 of 1990 on the file of the Court of Principal District Munsiff, Anantapur, and the said suit was decreed on 26.09.1996, whereby the permanent injunction was granted against the defendants.

2. Pursuant to the Civil Court Decree, the respondents have issued pattadar passbook and 1-B ROR (revenue records of rights) in favour of the petitioners on 14.08.2019. However, the petitioners have approached this Court alleging that the staff of the respondent No.3 are attempting to interfere with the possession of their subject land.

3. The learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the respondents, have placed written instructions dated 22.04.2026 before this Court. It is submitted that the Executive Officer of Sri Pothularaju Swamy Temple had preferred an appeal before the Revenue Divisional Officer, Anantapuramu, under the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971, seeking deletion of the names of the petitioners from the revenue records. The learned Assistant Government Pleader further clarifies that the respondents do not intend to dispossess the petitioners without following due process of law and press for disposal of the writ petition.

4. Having heard both the learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Assistant Government Pleader and upon perusal of the record, this Court observes that the petitioners are currently in possession of the subject lands supported by validly issued pattadar passbook and subsisting permanent injunction from the Civil Court.

5. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition with the following directions :

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer is directed to adjudicate and dispose of the pending appeal, in accordance with law, after affording reasonable opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned.
2. Pending final disposal of the said appeal, the respondents are restrained from interfering with the petitioners' peaceful possession and enjoyment of the subject lands.
3. The respondents are at liberty to take further action only after final disposal of the appeal, strictly following prescribed legal procedure.

6. With these observations and directions, the Writ Petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**

Date: 30.04.2026

rsd

20

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 12620/2019**

Date: 30.04.2026  
rsd