

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.W.P.No.14529 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
1.	15.05.2026	<u>GTK,J</u> Heard Ms.Haritha, learned counsel, representing Ms.Nimmagada Revathi, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Nithin, learned counsel, representing Sri M.Sudhir, learned Standing Counsel for respondent No.4-Gram Panchayat. The grievance of the petitioner is that a Sulabh Complex is being constructed on the road margin between his shops in Sy.No.431/10, bearing D.No.10-73/A, situated at Nizampatnam Village and Mandal, Bapatla District. Further contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that, in the event Sulabh Complex is constructed, it causes hindrance and obstruction for ingress and egress to his shops. Admittedly, any construction on the road margin will be contrary to the very law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court as well as this Court in Full Bench in the case of <i>Sataraboyina Someswara Rao v. Sangasetti Tirupathamma</i> reported in 1989 (1) ALT 36.	

Oppugnant to the said contentions, Sri Nithin, learned counsel, would place on record instructions stating that no steps are taken by the respondents to construct Sulabh Complex on the road margin in the scheduled place i.e., Sy.No.431/10 bearing D.No.10-73/A.

Taking into consideration the said instructions issued by the concerned Panchayat Development Officer, Gram Panchayat, Nizampatnam Mandal, the grievance of the petitioner is addressed.

For further instructions, post this matter after Summer Vacation, 2026.

KBN

GTK,J