

13. WA-600-2023

P. THIMMAPPA
Vs
THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

Present: Mr. Ravi Kondaveeti, Senior Advocate representing
Mrs. Adapa Ramya Sahithi Naidu, Advocate
for Appellant.
Mr. G. Raju, Government Pleader for Services-I, for
Respondents.

During the course of arguments, learned counsel for appellant submits that pension was illegally stopped and that no other terminal benefits, which would have been admissible in case pension was not to be granted, were ever released to appellant, though it is added that petitioner is entitled to pension.

Learned counsel for Respondents, at this stage, points out that any other benefits, if at all payable, could have been released, only after proper requisition being made by concerned Corporation i.e., parent employer of appellant.

Contd.,

At this stage, learned counsel for appellant prays for sometime to implead Corporation as party respondent.

At request, adjourned to 10.04.2026.

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

(NINALA JAYASURYA)
JUDGE

Date: 01.04.2026
MS