



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 13642/2026

Between:

1. A.VELAYUDHAM,, S/O.LATE ANDEPPA, AGED ABOUT 63 YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-12, VEERANAGAPURAM H/O. NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
2. C.VENKATACHALAM,, S/O.CHINNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, R/O.H.NO.3/32, NADIMURU VILLAGE, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
3. K.MANJUNATH,, S/O.KANNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-23-1, NADIMURU VILLAGE, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
4. CHINNA PULLAMMA,, W/O.LATE NECHARAPPA, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-26, THOLLAMMA GUDI STREET, NADIMURU VILLAGE, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
5. V.M.VALLI SHANKAR,, S/O.V.N.VETAPPA, AGED ABOUT 38 YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-13, PIPALYAM, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
6. SUBRAMANYAM,, S/O.LATE MUNUSWAMY, AGED ABOUT 70 YEARS, R/O.DOOR.NO.2-41-1, NADIMURU VILLAGE, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT

7. RAMALINGAM,, S/O.MANIKYAM, AGED ABOUT 52 YEARS,
R/O.DOOR NO.2-10, VEERANAGAPURAM H/O. NADIMURU,
KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
8. VELLEMMMA,, W/O.NARAYANAPPA, - AGED ABOUT 40
YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.5-83, NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL,
CHITTOOR DISTRICT
9. K.RAMU, , S/O.LATE KANNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 54 YEARS,
R/O.DOOR NO.2-37, NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL,
CHITTOOR DISTRICT
- 10.KANTHAMMA,, W/O.GOVINDAPPA, AGED ABOUT 48, R/O.
DOOR NO.1-1, NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT
- 11.S.CHANDRAKALA,, W/O.MUNIRATNAM, AGED ABOUT 44
YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.3-49, PIPALYAM, VASANARU,
KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,
- 12.CHINNAMUNUSWAMY,, S/O.LATE PEDDA MUNAPPA, AGED
ABOUT 85 YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-25/1, NADIMURU,
KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
- 13.T.SOMASUNDARAM,, S/O.THITHEPPA, AGED ABOUT 43
YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-95, PIPALYAM, VASANARU,
KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,
- 14.G.PRASHANTH KUMAR,, S/O.GOVINDASWAMY, AGED
ABOUT 50 YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-36, NADIMURU, KUPPAM
MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT
- 15.SEETHAMMA,, W/O.SREERAMULU, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS,
R/O.DOOR NO.2-28, THOTLAMMAA GUDI VEEDHI,
H/O.NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,
- 16.S.SIVAJI,, S/O.SRINIVASULU, AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS,
R/O.DOOR NO.2-29/1, THOTLAMMAA GUDI VEEDHI, H/O.
NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,
- 17.CHINNAPAPAMMA,, W/O.LATE VETAPPA, AGED ABOUT 85

YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-26/1, THOTLAMMAA GUDI VEEDHI,
H/O.NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,

18.RATNAMMA, , W/O.THIRTHAGIRI, AGED ABOUT 56YEARS,
R/O.DOOR NO.2-34, THOTLAMMAA GUDI VEEDHI,
H/O.NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,

19.MALIAPPA,, S/O.MUNUSWAMY, AGED ABOUT 75 YEARS,
RIO.DOOR NO.2-41, THOTLAMMAA GUDI VEEDHI,
H/O.NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,

20.M.RAMALINGAM,, S/O.MUDDAPPA, AGED ABOUT 70
YEARS, R/O.DOOR NO.2-32, THOTLAMMAA GUDI VEEDHI,
H/O.NADIMURU, KUPPAM MANDAL, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,

21.KAMARAJU,, S/O.LATE MUNAPPA, AGED ABOUT 72 YEARS,
R/O.DOOR NO.2-20 PIPALYAM VILLAGE, KUPPAM MANDAL,
CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, IRRIGATION-DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT
BUILDINGS, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522238

2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT.517001

3.THE JOINT COLLECTOR, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,
517001

4.THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER, DEPARTMENT OF IRRIGATION
DEPARTMENT, CHITTOOR, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,517001

5.THE DIVISIONAL ENGINEER, KUPPAM, CHITTOOR
DISTRICT,517425

6.THE TAHSILDAR, RAMAKUPPAM, CHITTOOR DISTRICT,517401

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents for trying to dig the supply canal without following the due process of law from properties of petitioners lands in Sy.No.162, Sy.No.163, Sy.No.164, Sy.No.165, Sy.No.167, Sy.No.172 and Sy.No.175 situated in Nadimuru Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District, as illegal, arbitrary, besides violation of principles of Natural Justice, consequently direct the Respondents not to interfere in to the petitioners lands in Sy.No.162, Sy.No.163, Sy.No.164, Sy.No.165, Sy.No.167, Sy.No.172 and Sy.No.175 situated in Nadimuru Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District, forthwith and to pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere into the petitioner's lands in Sy.No.162, Sy.No.163, Sy.No.164, Sy.No.165, Sy.No.167, Sy.No.172 and Sy.No.175 situated in Nadimuru Village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.PRAKASH C

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR IRRI AND CAD

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Heard Sri C.Prakash, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri S.Satish Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Irrigation for the respondents 1, 4 & 5, and Ms.Usha, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents 2, 3 & 6.

2. Petitioners, 21 in number, filed the above writ petition to declare the action of respondents 4 and 6 in attempting to dig the supply canal in the petitioners' lands in S.Nos.162, 163, 164, 165, 167, 172 and 175 situated in Nadimuru village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District, without following due procedure, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners have agricultural lands in the aforementioned survey numbers, and the revenue authorities updated the revenue records and issued pattadar pass books. Copies of 1B and pattadar pass books are filed as Exs.P1 and P2. He would also submit that earlier, the petitioners filed W.P.No.16518 of 2015, complaining of high-handed action on the part of the respondents therein to dig a canal across the petitioners' land. Initially, an interim order was granted, and thereafter, the said writ petition was disposed of on 21.11.2022. He would also submit that, thereafter, a survey was conducted by the respondents 4 and 6 on 30.04.2026, without issuing any notice to the petitioners. The officials of the respondents 4 and 6 came to the petitioners' land along with JCBs on 02.05.2026. However, the petitioners resisted such an act.

4. Ms.Usha, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents 2, 3 & 6 submitted the written instructions of the 6th respondent, Tahsildar. As seen from the instructions, there is no dispute regarding the petitioners' title over the aforementioned property. The instructions would further disclose that the revenue authorities are not interfering with the petitioners' possession of the aforementioned lands, and there is no proposal for the formation of a new channel. The instructions would further reveal that the subject lands are not required for both the Revenue and Irrigation departments.

5. Sri S.Satish Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Irrigation for the respondents 1, 4 & 5, on oral instructions of respondents 4 & 5, would submit that they are not interfering with the petitioners' possession and enjoyment of the aforementioned lands.

6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping the writ petition and inviting a counter from the respondents will not serve any purpose. Hence, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing the respondents not to interfere with the petitioners' possession and enjoyment of the subject lands in S.Nos.162, 163, 164, 165, 167, 172 and 175 situated in Nadimuru village, Kuppam Mandal, Chittoor District, without following due procedure. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD