



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 11690/2019

Between:

1. BHUMIREDDY BALA NAGI REDDY, S/U NAGI REDDY, AGE 45YRS, OCC: AGRICULTURAL, 2/113-7-8-4, MAHESHNAGAR, YERRAGUNTALA, YSR KADAPAH DIST., AP.
2. BHUMIREDDY NAGIREDDY @ CHINNA NAGI REDDY, @ NALUGOVA NE. GIREDDY, S/O BALA NAGI REDDY, AGE 67YRS, OCC AGRICULTURAL, H.NO. 1/86. CHINNADUDYALA, CUDDAPAH, AP.
3. BHUMIREDDY LAXMI PRASADA REDDY,, S/O NALAGAVA NAGI REDDY, AGE 39YRS, OCC AGRICULTURAL, R/O D.NO. 1/97, CHINNADUDYALA VILLAGE, MUDDANUR MANDAL, YSR DIST. AP.
4. BHUMIREDDY NAGA SIVA REDDY,, S/O MUDAVA NAGI REDDY, AGE 50YRS, OCC AGRICULTURAL. RIO D.NO. 1/87A, CHINNADUDYALA VILLAGE, MUDDANUR MANDAL, YSR DIST.
5. BHUMIREDDY VEMNKATA LAKSHUMMA, W/O NAGI REDDY @ CHINNA NAGIREDDY @ NALUGOVA NAGI REDDY, AGE 65YRS, OCC AGRICULTURAL, R/O D.NO. 1/87, CHINNA DUDYALA VILLAGE, MUDDANUR MANDAL YSR

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY REVENUE DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI II, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, KADAPA, Y S R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 3.THE JOINT COLLECTOR, KADAPA,Y S R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 4.THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JAMMALAMADUGU, Y S R KADAPA Y S R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 5.THE TAHSILDAR, MUDDANUR MANDAL, Y S R KADAPA DISTRICT.
- 6.B S SANKAR REDDY, S/O SIVA RAMI REDDY AGED 35 YEARS OA AGRICULTURE,R/O H NO 1/86 CHINNADUDYALA (V) MUDDANUR (M) ,Y S R KADAPA DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the in action of the 2nd and 3rd respondents not conducting any enquiry to grant stay after seeing the fraudulently obtained exparte Ad-Interim Injunction order dated 06.08.2019 by the 6th respondent concealing the final Orders in W.P.No.6707/2019 dated 24.06.2019 before the Junior Civil Judge court, Jammalamadugu, YSR Kadapa District in I.A.No.338/2019 in O.S No.208/2019 as illegal against to the under the constitution of India and violation of the Article 14,15,19,21,226,227 and 300A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the 3rd and 4th respondents to complete the enquiry to grant stay in the revision petition No.A1/547,12018 without influencing by the fraudulently obtained exparte Ad-Interim Injunction order dated 06.08.2019 and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to directing the 2nd & 3rd respondents to complete the enquiry in the revision petition No.A1/54712018 by granting stay without influencing by the fraudulently obtained exparte Ad-Interim Injunction order before the Junior Civil Judge court, Jammalamadugu, YSR Kadapa District in I.A No.3138/2019 in O.5; No.208/2019 dated 06.08 2019 as expeditiously as possible as directed in the WP No.6707/2019 dated 24.06.2019 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.JANARDHANA REDDY PONAKA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE (AP)

2.Y SUBBA RAO

The Court made the following

ORDER:-

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, and learned counsel for the respondent No.6.

2. Sri Y.Subba Rao, learned counsel for the respondent No.6 submits that the 3rd respondent has passed orders dated 27.02.2025 vide Ref.No.D.Dis.E2/1099/2019, wherein it is stated as follows:

“the petitioners are not in possession/enjoyment over the subject lands, which play vital role under Record of Rights. The above entire factum of the case established that the claim of the petitioners that fake death certificate created by the respondent herein is unfound. The petitioners relied upon will does not have any schedule land mentioning Sy.Nos. and not submitted tangible evidence for establishment of right, title and possession held by the petitioners over the subject lands. The subject matter is currently pending before various Courts as well as before the Civil Court. In the result, the relief sought for by the petitioners is hereby not granted under provisions of A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadhar Pass Books Act, 1971. However, it is made clear that the parties can redress their grievance, if any, before the Civil Court. Accordingly, the case is disposed of.”

3. In view of the above, this Court is of the opinion that the cause in the Writ Petition does not survive and the Writ Petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. However, it is made clear that it is open to the petitioners to question the orders of the 3rd respondent-Joint Collector before the appropriate authority. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

Dated: 20.04.2026
M K K

141

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION No: 11690 of 2019

Dated: 20.04.2026
M K K