

Neutral Citation: _____

Date of reserved for orders : --
Date of pronouncement : 29.06.2026
Date of uploading :

APHC010257852026



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3330]

MONDAY, THE 29th DAY OF JUNE 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1904/2026

Between:

1. KAKY SREE PRADYUMNA, S/O. KSRV SUBBARAO AGED ABOUT 47 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O. 7-18-29, BANDARU VARI STREET, RAJAHMUNDY (URBAN), EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 533101
2. CHALLAMALLA SUJIRAJU, S/O. BHADRAM, AGED ABOUT 57 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O. 3-67, RAMACHANDRAPURAM, PURUSHOTHAPATNAM, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 533287
3. MEKA SRINIVAS, S/O. NARASIMHA RAO, AGES ABOUT 46 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS 80-17-3, KOKABHASKARAMMA NAGAR, AVAROAD, RAJAHMUNDY (URBAN), EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 533101
4. MADDIPATI SURESH KUMAR, S/O. SURYA BHASKARA RAO, AGED ABOUT 43 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS R/O. 5-8-41, MADDIPATI VARI VEEDHI, KOVVUR, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 534350

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1.SRI CHAMAKURI SRIDHAR IAS, COMMISSIONER OF PROHIBITION AND EXCISE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA DISTRICT-521108

2.YADLA SRILATHA, DISTRICT PROHIBITION AND EXCISE OFFICER/ LICENSING AUTHORITY, RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH 533101

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to may be pleased to punish the respondents under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act for their wilful disobedience of the order dated 09-02-2026 passed in W.P. No. 3638 of 2026 and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.YASWANTH GADE

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1..

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO
CONTEMPT CASE No.1904 of 2026

ORDER:

Learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that the orders of this Court have been complied with by the respondents. The said fact was not disputed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners.

2. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, the present Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, interlocutory applications, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 29.06.2026
 SJ

Whether the order is:

Speaking	√	Reasoned	
Reportable		Non-reportable	√

12

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Contempt Case No.1904 of 2026

29.06.2026

SJ