



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT AMARAVATI  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

**[3332]**

THURSDAY ,THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FOUR

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 12637/2024**

**Between:**

Nangi Narayana Reddy and Others

**...PETITIONER(S)**

**AND**

The State Of Ap and Others

**...RESPONDENT(S)**

**Counsel for the Petitioner(S):**

1.K JYOTHI PRASAD

**Counsel for the Respondent(S):**

1.GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN URBAN DEV

**The Court made the following:**

Notice before admission.

Learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration takes notice for R1.

Sri K.Suresh Kumar Reddy, learned Standing counsel takes notice for R2.

Sri K.Srinivasa Reddy, learned Standing counsel takes notice for R3.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to take out personal notice on the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent through registered post acknowledgement due and file proof of service before the Registry.

Post on 04.07.2024 for getting instructions.

**I.A.No.01/2024**

Heard.

Sri K.Jyothi Prasad, learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are the absolute owners of the subject property having purchased the same under registered sale deed on 19.11.2022. He further submitted that, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent has submitted an application for approval of layout (Technical Layout Pattern) in his land, showing petitioners' land as Access/Approach Road, against which the petitioners have made representations dated 01.03.2024 and 18.06.2024 requesting not to approve the said layout. But without considering the said representations and passing orders on the same, the respondents have recommended for issuance of Technical Layout Pattern vide remarks dated 18.06.2024. If the said layout is approved, the rights of the petitioners would be affected. Hence, prayed to pass appropriate orders protecting the interest of the petitioners.

The record would reveal that the petitioners have purchased the subject property under registered sale deed on 19.11.2022. As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners, if the said layout is approved, the rights of the petitioners would be affected. Therefore, having found that the petitioners could make out a point for consideration in the writ petition, this court is inclined to grant the following order as an interim measure:

The respondent authorities are directed not to approve the Layout file No.1013/00028LP/KAD/2024 submitted by the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent for a period of four(04) weeks.

**RAVI CHEEMALAPATI,J**

*BRS*