



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI



TUESDAY, THE FIFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR, CHIEF JUSTICE

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI

WRIT APPEAL NO: 724 OF 2025

Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dt.
08.01.2025 in WP No. 31528 of 2024 on the file of the High Court.

Between:

P Janardhan Naidu, S/o. late P. Venkatesu, Aged 52 years, R/o. Flat No.61,
Vidyuth Nagar, Ananthapuramu Town and District.

...Appellant/Respondent Nos.4

AND

1. Santolla Narasimhulu, S/o. late Ramudu, Aged 73 years, Occ. Retired
Supdt engineer, Panchayat Raj, R/o. D.NO. 12-4-25, Vidyuth Nagar,
Ananthapuram Town and District.

...Writ Petitioner/Respondent

2. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Municipal Administration Department, Secretariat Buildings,
Velagapudi, Guntur District
3. The District Collector, Ananthapur, Ananthapuram District
4. The Ananthapuramu Municipal Corporation, Rep. by its Commissioner
Ananthapur, Ananthapuramu District.

... Respondents /Respondents

IA NO: 3 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the operation of orders dt.08.01.2025 in W.P.No.31528 of 2024 passed by the Hon'ble learned single judge, pending disposal of the writ appeal.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SRI N ASWARTHA NARAYANA

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: SRI G VENUGOPAL REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: SRI P NARASIMHULU

**Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP FOR MUNICIPAL ADMN AND
URBAN DEV**

The Court made the following order:

**WRIT APPEAL NO: 724 of 2025**

P. Janardhan Naidu

...Appellant

Vs.

Santolla Narasimhulu and others

...Respondents

Advocate for Appellant : Mr. N. Aswartha Narayana

Advocates for Respondents : Mr. P. Narasimhulu &
GP for Municipal Administration & Urban
Development**CORAM : THE CHIEF JUSTICE DHIRAJ SINGH THAKUR
SRI JUSTICE RAVI CHEEMALAPATI****DATE : 5th August 2025****P C :**

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant.

2. We feel that no good ground is made out in this matter. The directions issued by the learned single Judge are quite innocuous as it only requires the authorities to take action in accordance with law. In case the appellant feels aggrieved of any decision taken by the municipal authorities, he would independently be within his right to challenge the same if there is any procedural or other illegality in passing of those orders.

3. This Writ Appeal is found to be without any merit and is, accordingly, dismissed. No costs.

Consequently, connected miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

Sd/- V.DIWAKAR
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri N Aswartha Narayana, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP for Revenue High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
3. One CC to Sri G Venugopal Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri P Narasimhulu Advocate [OPUC]
5. Two CCs to GP for Municipal Admn and Urban Dev, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
6. Two CD Copies

TF

HIGH COURT

DATED:05/08/2025

**ORDER
WA NO. 724 OF 2025**



DISMISSING THE W.A., WITHOUT COSTS