

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI  
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**TUESDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF APRIL,  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

**:PRESENT:**

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM**

**WRIT PETITION NO: 11339 OF 2018**



**Between:**

1. Bokam Suri Naidu, S/o. Santaiah, Hindu, Aged about 51 years, R/o.Tavvavanipalem, Hamlet of Amruthapuram Sabbavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dist.
2. Bokam Venkata Laxmi, W/o. Suri Naidu Hindu, Aged about 48 Years R/o.Tavvavanipalem, Hamlet of Amruthapuram Sabbavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dist.

**...Petitioners**

**AND**

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Industries) Secretariat, Amaravati, Guntur, A.P.
2. The A.P. Industrial Infrastructure Company, Represented by its Zonal Manager, Industrial Estate, Marripalem, Viksakhapatnam
3. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Anakapalle, visakhapatnam District.
5. The Tahsildar, Ankapalle, Visakhapatnam District.

**...Respondents**

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an writ, or order, or direction, more particularly, one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, (i) To direct respondents not to interfere with our possession and enjoyment of land an extent of Ac.4.80cents (Ac.5.01 cents within the boundaries) in Sy. No. 369/3 carved out from Sy.No. 21, without following the procedure known to law, (ii) To declare the proceedings of 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent in R.C. No. 915/215/E2/, dt. Nil,-12-2017 (served us on 01-

01-2018) as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and contrary to G.O. Ms. No.1117, dated 11-11-1993 as also to the judgment of this Honorable court vide 2013-1-ALT-774, para 23, (iii) And consequently, direct the respondents to follow the procedure as contemplated under the Provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in the interest of justice and in the interest of justice;

**IA NO: 1 OF 2018:**

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with our peaceful possession and enjoyment of land admeasuring an extent of Ac.4.80cents (Ac.5.01 cents within the boundaries) in Sy. No. 369/3 carved out from Sy.No. 21, Koduru village, Ankapally Mandal, Visakhapatnam District in the interest of justice and in the interest of justice, pending disposal of WP.No.11339 of 2018, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the orders of the High Court dated 23.04.2018 , 17.02.2026 & 27.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri P.Rajasekhar, Advocate for the Petitioners and GP for Mines and Geology for Respondent No.1 and Sri Rama Chandra Rao Gurram, Standing Counsel for APIIC for Respondent No.2, the Court made the following

**ORDER:**

**“The 1<sup>st</sup> respondent has appeared before this Court. His presence is dispensed with for the present.**

**The matter was adjourned from time to time. On 05.02.2026, learned Assistant Government Pleader requested time for filing resumption proceedings before this Court. Subsequently, the 5th respondent-Tahisldar informed the learned Assistant Government Pleader that the resumption proceedings are not traceable. Recording this submission, this Court directed the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent to file counter-affidavit.**

Today, the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent has filed counter affidavit along with the resumption proceedings in RC No.255/2014 A, dated 14.07.2016, issued by the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent-Tahsildar.

In view of the contradictions regarding the availability of the records, the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent i.e., the Principal Secretary (Industries), the State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Amaravati, who is present before this Court, is directed to conduct an enquiry into the action of the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent Tahsildar and submit a detailed action taken report before this Court by the next date of hearing.

Post on 23.04.2026.”

Sd/- U.SRIDEVI  
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

  
SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary (Industries), State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Amaravati, Guntur, A.P. (For Appearance) (by Spl.Messenger)
2. The Tahsildar, Ankapalle, Visakhapatnam District. (by RPAD)
3. One CC to Sri. P.Rajasekhar, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to GP for Mines and Geology, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
5. One CC to Sri Rama Chandra Rao Gurram, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
6. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court of AP [OUT]
7. One spare copy.

TVSR

HIGH COURT

JS, J

DATE: 07.04.2026

Post on 23.04.2026

ORDER

WP.No.11339 of 2018

DIRECTION

