


**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

:PRESENT:

**THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM
WRIT PETITION NO: 11339 OF 2018**



Between:

1. Bokam Suri Naidu, S/o. Santaiah, Hindu, Aged about 51 years, R/o.Tavvavanipalem, Hamlet of Amruthapuram Sabbavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dist.
2. Bokam Venkata Laxmi, W/o. Suri Naidu Hindu, Aged about 48 Years R/o.Tavvavanipalem, Hamlet of Amruthapuram Sabbavaram Mandal, Visakhapatnam Dist.

...Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Industries) Secretariat, Amaravati, Guntur, A.P.
2. The A.P. Industrial Infrastructure Company, Represented by its Zonal Manager, Industrial Estate, Marripalem, Viksakhapatnam
3. The District Collector, Visakhapatnam.
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Anakapalle, visakhapatnam District.
5. The Tahsildar, Ankapalle, Visakhapatnam District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an writ, or order, or direction, more particularly, one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, (i) To direct respondents not to interfere with our possession and enjoyment of land an extent of Ac.4.80cents (Ac.5.01 cents within the boundaries) in Sy. No. 369/3 carved out from Sy.No. 21, without following the procedure known to law, (ii) To declare the proceedings

of 3rd respondent in R.C. No. 915/215/E2/, dt. Nil,-12-2017 (served us on 01-01-20018) as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and contrary to G.O. Ms. No.1117, dated 11-11-1993 as also to the judgment of this Honorable court vide 2013-1-ALT-774, para 23, (iii) And consequently, direct the respondents to follow the procedure as contemplated under the Provisions of Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 in the interest of justice and in the interest of justice;

IA NO: 1 OF 2018:

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with our peaceful possession and enjoyment of land admeasuring an extent of Ac.4.80cents (Ac.5.01 cents within the boundaries) in Sy. No. 369/3 carved out from Sy.No. 21, Koduru village, Ankapally Mandal, Visakhapatnam District in the interest of justice and in the interest of justice, pending disposal of WP.No.11339 of 2018, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the orders of the High Court dated 23.04.2018 , 17.02.2026 & 27.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri P.Rajasekhar, Advocate for the Petitioners and GP for Mines and Geology for Respondent No.1 and Sri Rama Chandra Rao Gurram, Standing Counsel for APIIC for Respondent No.2, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Perused the *interim* order, dated 23.04.2018. On 05.02.2026, the learned Assistant Government Pleader, requested time to file resumption proceedings before this Court. As a result, the matter was posted to 17.02.2026. On that day, the respondents failed to produce the resumption proceedings.

Consequently, the 4th Respondent – RDO, Anakapalle was directed to appear on 27.02.2026. On that day, the learned Assistant Government Pleader submitted that the resumption proceedings could not be traced, the 1st respondent was directed to appear.

Despite of specific direction, the 1st respondent was neither present nor filed any dispense with application. Since the matter pertains to the year 2018, and no counter affidavit has been filed to date, the learned Assistant Government Pleader requested an adjournment to enable the respondents to file counter-affidavit.

According to the request of learned Assistant Government Pleader, the matter is posted to 07.04.2026, failure to file counter affidavit by that time, the 1st and 3rd respondents shall appear before this Court.

Office is directed to communicate a copy of this order to the Respondents, forthwith.

Sd/-M.PRABHAKAR RAO
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The Principal Secretary (Industries), State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat, Amaravati, Guntur, A.P.(For Appearance)(by Spl.Messenger)
2. The Zonal Manager, A.P. Industrial Infrastructure Company, Industrial Estate, Marripalem, Vissakhapatnam
3. The District Collector, Vissakhapatnam. (For Appearance)(by Speed post)
4. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Anakapalle, visakhapatnam District.

5. The Tahsildar, Ankapalle, Visakhapatnam District. (**Addressee Nos. 2, 4 & 5 by RPAD**)
6. One CC to Sri. P.Rajasekhar, Advocate [OPUC]
7. Two CCs to GP for Mines and Geology, High Court of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
8. One CC to Sri Rama Chandra Rao Gurram, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
9. Two CCs to GP for Revenue, High Court of AP [OUT]
10. One spare copy.

KSR

HIGH COURT

JS, J

DATE:16.03.2026

Post on 07.04.2026

ORDER

WP.No.11339 of 2018

APPEARANCE

