IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY,

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRIJUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 13380 OF 2023

Between:

1. Gurram Nooka Raju, S/o Late Chinnaiah, aged- 63 years, R/o D.No. 1-74, Thadi Village, Parawada Mandal, Visakhapatnam (Anakapalli) District.
2. Pithana Neelakanta Rao, S/o Late Kannaiah, aged- 56 years, R/o D.No.1-74, Pharama City Colony, Kothapalem Street, Aganampudi Post, Gajuwaka Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.
3. Gurram Satti Babu, S/o Nooka Raju, Aged- 39 years, R/o D.No.1-75, Thadi Village, Parawada Mandal, Visakhapatnam (Anakapalli) District.
4. Smt. Gangireddla Parameswari, W/o Venkata Ramana, aged- 55 years, R/o Pharama City Colony, Lemarthy Agraharam Street, Aganampudi Post, Gajuwaka Mandal, Visakhapatnam District.

Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented through Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The District Collector, Anakapalli (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam) District, Anakapalli.
3. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Anakapalle Division, Anakapalli (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam) District.
4. The Tahsildar, Parawada Mandal, Anakapalli (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam) District.

5. Andhra Pradesh Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Limited (APIIC), Special Project Zone, represented by its Deputy Zonal Manager (AM), One Stop Service Centre, APSEZ, Atchutapuram, Visakhapatnam.
6. Visakha Pharmacity Limited, (Formerly M/s Ramky Pharma City (India) Ltd.) Commercial Hub, Road No. 13, Admin Block, Jawaharlal Nehru Pharma City, Parawada Mandal, Anakapalli District - 531 019.

Represented by its Authorized Signatory Mr. Pakanati Venkata Raghava Reddy, S/o Pakanti Pitchi Reddy Occ: Deputy General Manager, Visakha Pharmacity Limited, R/o D.No.4-156/2, 113 Thalluru, Near Post Office, Phirangipuram (Mandal), Guntur (District), Andhra Pradesh - 522 529.

Respondents

(R6 is impleaded as per the Court's Order dt.04.11.2025 in I.A.No.04 of 2025 in writ petition and affidavit)

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the high handed and illegal action on the part of the respondents in attempting to dispossess the petitioners from their possession and enjoyment of their respective properties situated in an extent of Ac.5-00 cents, Ac.3-00 cents, Ac.3-00 cents, and Ac.5-00 cents covered by R.S.No.116 of Thadi Village, ParawadaMandal, Anakapalli (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam) District, without issuing any notice, without conducting any enquiry and without passing any order as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, malafide and against the principles of Natural Justice besides violation of Articles 14, 21 and 300-A of Constitution of India and to consequently direct the respondents not to interfere with the possession and enjoyment of the petitioners in any manner including their dispossession from the subject properties in the interest of justice.

IA NO: 1 OF 2023

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere with the petitioners' possession and enjoyment of their respective properties situated in an extent of Ac.5-00 cents, Ac.3-00 cents, Ac.3-00 cents, and Ac.5-00 cents covered by R.S.No.116 of Thadi Village, ParawadaMandal, Anakapalli (Erstwhile Visakhapatnam) District, in any manner including their dispossession, during the pendency of the Writ Petition No.13380 of 2023, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the High Court order dated 07.05.2024, 04.11.2025, 27.11.2025, 15.12.2025, 20.01.2026 & 10.02.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K. JYOTHI PRASAD, Advocate for the Petitioners and of GP FOR REVENUE, for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 and of Smt. SANTHI CHANDRA, STANDING COUNSEL for Respondent No.5 and of Sri N. NAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate for the Respondent No.6, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

At the request of learned counsel for the respondents, list the case after three weeks.

Interim order granted earlier is extended by four (4) more weeks.

SD/-K.V.RAGHAVULU
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

6

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to Sri K.JYOTHI PRASAD, Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR REVENUE, High Court Of Andhra Pradesh. [OUT]
3. One CC to Smt. SANTHI CHANDRA, STANDING COUNSEL (OPUC)
4. One CC to Sri N. NAVEEN KUMAR, Advocate (OPUC)
5. One spare copy

JSS

HIGH COURT

TRR, J

DATED: 19.02.2026.

LIST THE CASE AFTER THREE WEEKS.

ORDER

WP.No.13380 of 2023

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
SPECIAL CELL
24 FEB 2026
DESPATCHED

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED