

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: W.P.No.14074 of 2026

10

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
02	19.06.2026	<u>BSB,J</u> <u>W.P.No.14074 of 2026</u> The learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue placed on record a copy of written instructions of For filing detailed counters, post on 15.07.2026. <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> This application is filed to direct the respondent authorities to maintain <i>status quo</i> with respect to the land to an extent of Ac. 0.21 cents in Sy. No. 101/5, situated at Kothapalem Village, Tirupathi Division, Renigunta Mandal, Tirupati District forthwith during the pendency of the writ petition. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the landed property of the petitioners is surrounded on all sides by private properties and that the respondent No.4 issued proceedings R.O.C./18/2025, dated 26.11.2025 temporarily granting sub-division (AWD) anadeenam waste land in Sy. No. 101/5 to an extent of 21 cents proposing for laying road of 40 feet width which connects the property of the petitioners to the main road, however,	Contd...

	subsequently the land in Sy. No. 101/5 is being proposed for assignment to third parties and thereby the petitioners will be deprived of access to their property. As per the written instructions of the respondent No.4, the government can use AWD land for any public purpose at any time and that no proposal for formation of the said road was initiated nor was it submitted to the district administration and if there was such proposal necessary appropriate orders are required to be issued by the district administration. It is further stated in the written instructions that it was noticed on verification. of the proceeding dated 26.11.2025 that there were no required specific order of the district administration issued and therefore the purported year marking is null and void but after enquiry and inspection of the subject land, appropriate action would be taken. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the proceedings of the respondent No.4 dated 26.11.2025 have not been cancelled so far and that the proposal for assignment of land has not been denied and therefore, in the event of assignment, the petitioners would lose access to their property from the main road on any side. Considering the submissions on both sides, this Court is satisfied that there was initiation for the proposal to lay road and that proceeding is in	Contd...
--	---	----------

operation as has not been cancelled so far and that if that portion of land is assigned for any purpose, there would be difficult for the petitioners to reach the main road unless there is any other way.

Under these circumstances, before a detailed hearing is taken up in the writ petition after filing counter, there is need for protecting the interest of the petitioners by way of interim relief prayed. Accordingly *status quo* as prayed is granted till 15.07.2026.

B.S.BHANUMATHI,J

NSM