

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATHI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**FRIDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE**

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NYAPATHY VIJAY

WRIT PETITION NO: 12891 OF 2025



Between:

G. Surya Narayana, S/o. G. Kurmi Naidu, Hindu, aged about 54 years,
Working as Chief Manager, Co-op Central Bank Ltd., Seethanagaram,
Viziayanagaram District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rept. By its Principal Secretary
Co-operative Department Secretarial Buildings, Velagapudi, Guntur
District, Amaravathi.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, District Co-operative Central Bank Ltd.,
Vizianagaram , Vizianagaram District.
3. The Divisional Co-operative Officer, Paravathi Puram, Manyam District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ or order direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent herein, by fixing the liability solely on petitioner, no proceeding were issued neither 2nd respondent nor the then branch manager Pravathipuram District Co-operative Central Bank in the year 2007 as the petitioner was in charge CEO of Krishnapalli PACS, as per section 55 (3) of APCS Act 1964 as well Rule 59(3) of APCS Rules when the CEO is not working in the society the president Krishnapalli PACS in solely liable to Maintain records and accounts. When the

inquiry officer by leaving the president Krishnapally PACS, as well as dailyway employee and fixing entire liability on petitioner herein consequently impugned proceeding issued by the 2nd respondent vide No.CO/Estt./2025-24 dated 29.04.2025 punishment of dismissal from service to reduction in grade/rank from chief manager with immediately by victimized the petitioner the impugned order is as illegal, improper and arbitrary consequently the impugned order is liable to be set side declared as void.

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to directing the 2nd respondent by suspending proceeding impugned proceedings vide CO/Esst./2025-26 dated 29.04.2025, Pending disposal of WP 12891 of 2025, on the file of the High Court.

The Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 08.05.2025 , 19.06.2025 , 25.07.2025 & 09.09.2025 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SMT A PADMA Advocate for the Petitioner, and of GP FOR COOPERATION, for the Respondent No.1, and of SMT V.UMADEVI, Standing Counsel for the Respondent Nos.2 & 3, the Court made the following;

ORDER:

"Interim Order granted earlier by this Court is extended for a period of eight (08) weeks.

List after six (06) weeks."

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/-A.VIJAYA BABU
DEPUTY REGISTRAR**


SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. One CC to SRI. A PADMA Advocate [OPUC]
2. Two CCs to GP FOR COOPERATION , High Court of Andhra Pradesh.
[OUT]
3. One CC to SMT V.UMADEVI, Standing Counsel [OPUC]
4. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

VN, J

DATED:10.10.2025

POST AFTER SIX WEEKS

ORDER

WP.No.12891 of 2025

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

