

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
MONDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE
:PRESENT:
THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI



IA No. 2 OF 2021
IN
CRLP NO: 3980 OF 2021

Between:

Goriparthi Hochmen, S/o. Late Radha Krishna Murthy Aged 50 years, Occ-
Government Employee R/o. H.No.43-12-1, block no. 92, Ajithsingh Nagar
Vijayawada Krishna District.

...Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Public Prosecutor High Court of Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati Through the Station House Officer Governorpet Police Station, Krishna District.
2. Devarakonda Kumari, W/o. Srinivasa Rao Aged 50years, Occ- House Wife R/o. D. No. 1-3-208, Nalugustambala center, Vidhyadharapuram, Vijayawada, Krishan District.

...Respondent/Complainant

Counsel for the Petitioner :

Sri KAMBHAMPATI RAMESH BABU

Counsel for the Respondent No.1:

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in C.C. No. 3842 of 2020 on the file of the II Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada including appearance of the Petitioner/Accused in the interest of justice, pending disposal of CRLP No. 3980 of 2021, on the file of the High Court.

The court while directing issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this application should not be complied with, made the following order.(The receipt of this order will be deemed to be the receipt of notice in the case). The Court made the following

ORDER:

"Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that if all the allegations in the charge sheet are taken on its face value, they do not attract the alleged offences under Sections 354C, 506 & 509 of IPC. He submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that on 19.08.2020 the petitioner came to the hotel and took photographs of *defacto* complainant and others working outside the hotel and abused the complainant in filthy language. He submits that the petitioner did not commit any offence. He submits that earlier the petitioner gave complaint against the co-sister of *defacto* complainant, who is running hotel and basing on the said complaint, the Superintendent of GGH, Vijayawada issued proceedings on 28.01.2021. He further submits that

the petitioner has been implicated in this case and *prima facie*, the allegations do not attract any offence against the petitioner.

This Court has gone through the complaint, charge sheet and statement given by the complainant, *prima facie* it appears that the allegations in respect of Section 354C of IPC are not attracted. Hence, there shall be stay of all further proceedings in C.C.No.3842 of 2020 on the file of II Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijyawada.

Sd/-K.TATA RAO

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR


//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1. The II Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijyawada.
2. Devarakonda Kumari, W/o. Srinivasa Rao, Occ- House Wife R/o. D. No. 1-3-208, Nalugustambala center, Vidhyadharapuram, Vijyawada, Krishan District.(By RPAD)
3. One CC to Sri. Kambhampati Ramesh Babu Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CC's to Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP, Amaravati[OUT]
5. One spare copy

pr



HIGH COURT

LKJ

DATED:19/07/2021

POST AFTER FOUR WEEKS.

ORDER

IA No. 2 OF 2021

IN

CRLP NO: 3980 OF 2021

STAY

