

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: C.C.No.1843 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
06.	13.07.2026	<u>GTK,J</u> Heard Mr.M.R.Tagore, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr.G.Raju, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4, and Mr.P.Nagaraju, learned counsel for respondent No.2. Mr.G.Raju, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4, after going through the docket order, dated 07.07.2026, would submit that if the particulars of the petitioner and the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner are put in by way of an affidavit, the same would be considered and appropriate orders would be passed according to the averments in the said affidavit. Mr.G.Raju, learned counsel, would further submit that the respondent No.4 was under the impression that the speaking order was issued according to G.O.Ms.No.114, dated 21.10.2023. But G.O.Ms.No.114 is nothing but a consequential order to Andhra Pradesh Regularization of Services of Contract Employees Act, 2023 (Act No.30 of 2023), dated 20.10.2023, which entails contract employees for regularization. Mr.P.Nagaraju, learned counsel for the respondent No.2, has marked his arguments, stating that as per the directions, they have issued instructions to the concerned	Contd.....

authorities and are awaiting further instructions.

As per the directions of this Court, respondent No.4, Dr.A.Naga Sashibhusan Reddy, is present before the Court.

Mr.M.R.Tagore, learned counsel for the petitioner, is directed to file an affidavit containing all the aspects of the petitioner by one week and the same to be served on Mr.G.Raju, learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4.

Post the matter on 29.07.2026.

The appearance of the respondent No.4 is dispensed with.

GTK,J

BMS

