

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH : AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: Writ Petition No.15121 of 2022

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
17.	16.02.2026	<u>SRK, J</u> Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for respondents. Learned counsel for respondents 1 to 3 submits that Clause 9 of the Policy Guidelines for Reconstitution of Retail Outlet/SKO-LDO Dealerships deals with dispute in case of induction of nominee/ legal heir/ family member amongst partners. According to the same, if there is any dispute with regard to the induction amongst the surviving/ existing partners, then in such cases the dispute may be resolved expeditiously by the dealership. In such cases, it is obligatory on the part of respondent authorities to issue notice to all the partners calling them for personal hearing to resolve the dispute for operation/ reconstitution of dealership and take an undertaking from the dealership confirming to resolve the dispute within 6 months. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that no such notice has been issued to her till today. On the other hand, learned counsel for respondents 1 to 3 submits that earlier about three	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		notices have been issued to petitioner and 4th respondent herein, but none of them turned up, in order to resolve the dispute between them. Counter affidavit has been filed by 4th respondent herein stating that though he is willing to appear before the respondent authorities, the petitioner did not come forward. In order to resolve the dispute, this Court directs the respondent authorities to issue a fresh notice to petitioner and 4th respondent herein within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of this order. On appearance of the petitioner and 4th respondent, the respondent authorities are directed to pass appropriate orders within a period of four (4) weeks thereafter. Post after six (6) weeks. _____ SRK, J sj	

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE