



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3459]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 10895/2019

Between:

1. MOHAMMAD YOUSAF, S/O. LATE PENTU SAHEB, AGED 75 YEARS,
OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. YADAVOLE VILLAGE, DEVARAPALLI
MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
REVENUE DEPARTMENT, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICTS A,P.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT AT
ELURU.

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KOVVURU REVENUE
DIVISION, W.G. DISTRICT.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, DEVARAPALLI MANDAL, W.G. DISTRICT,
ANDHRA PRADESH.

5. RAFIYULLAH BAIG, S/O. SALAR BAIG KAPAVARAM VILLAGE,
KOVVUR MANDAL, W.G. DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly, one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent issuing the proceedings vide ROC No. 131417/2016 dt. 09.05.2018 as being illegal,

arbitrary and violative of Article 14, 21 of Constitution of India and consequently set aside the same and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2019

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings vide ROC No. 131417/2016 dt. 09.05.2018 issued by the 2nd respondent including mutation of the names of 3r parties in 1 B records pertains to the property admeasuring ac. 1-07 cents in Sy No. 194/B, situated in Yadavole Village, Devarapally Mandal, West Godavari District. forthwith, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V KISHORE

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.K J V N PUNDAREEKAKASHUDU

2.GP FOR REVENUE (AP)

The Court made the following ORDER:

As there was no representation for the petitioner on 06.04.2026, the matter was adjourned to 21.04.2026. On 21.04.2026 also there was no representation for the petitioner. Hence the matter was directed to be listed today under the caption "*for dismissal*".

Today when the matter is called, there is again no representation for the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not interested to prosecute the case.

Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

Dated: 28.04.2026
RSD

JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

9

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE SUMATHI JAGADAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 10895/2019

Dated: 28.04.2026
RSD