



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3521]

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

WRIT PETITION NO: 12553/2026

Between:

1. AVULA KHASIM SA., S/O. BASHA, AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, R/O
H.NO.17-146, PARK ROAD, NANDYAL TOWN AND DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT, A.P.-522237
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KADAPA TOWN, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT-516001
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, JAMALAMADUGU TOWN, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT-516434
4. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, JAMALAMADUGU TOWN, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT-516434
5. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, PEDDA MUDIYAM POLICE STATION, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT. -516434
6. VANGALA RAVINDRANATH REDDY, S/O PAPA VEERA REDDY, R/O H.NO. 3-56, SHIVALAYAM VEEDHI, ALLAGADDA TOWN, NANDYAL DISTRICT.-518543
7. ARAVA JAYARAMI REDDY, S/O RAMA CHANDRA REDDY, R/O H. NO. 2-45, JANGALAPALLI VILLAGE, PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL, KADAPA DIST. 516237

8. CHAPALOLLA PRATHAP REDDY, S/O BALA VENKATA REDDY, R/O H.NO.3-98, JANALAPALLI VILLAGE. PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL, KADAPA DIST. -516237
9. MADDUBAYIGARI BIYAMBI, W/O AKBAR, R/O H. NO. 5-34, JANGALAPALLI VILLAGE. PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL, KADAPA DIST. -516237
- 10.VANGALA PAPA VEERA REDDY, S/O POGAKU REDDY, H.NO. 5-39, JANALAPALLI VILLAGE, PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL, KADAPA DIST-516237
- 11.CHEVITIPALLI MANJALI, , S/O SUBHAN SHA, R/O H. NO. 3-87, JANGALAPALLI VILLAGE. PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL, KADAPA DIST. -516237
- 12.ARAKATAVMULA MAHABOOB PEERA, S/O DASTHAGIRI, R/O H. NO. 5-67, JANALAPALLI VILLAGE, PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL, KADAPA DIST.-516237
- 13.SHETTIVARI PEDDA MAA BEE, D/O MABU, R/O H. NO. 1-54, JANGALAPALLI VILLAGE. PEDDA MUDIYAM MANDAL. KADAPA DIST.-516237
- 14.BEEMPATI CHANDRA REDDY, S/O RANGA REDDY, H.NO. 26-89A, RAILWAY STATION ROAD, NEAR AMMAVARI SHALA, NOONEPALLI, NANDYAL TOWN AND DISTRICT.-518502

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

- 1.AYESHA AZMA S

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

- 1.GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

“...to issue a Writ, order or direction, especially one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of the Respondents especially the respondents no. 4 and 5 in interfering at the behest of respondents No.6 to 14 without any manner of right and threatening him to settle the civil disputes and the same is sub judice before the Honble Court of II Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Nandyal, vide I.P No. 13 of 2026 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents no. 4 and 5 not to interfere with in the civil disputes and not to call the petitioner to the police station and pass such order(s).”

2. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. M/s. Ayesha Azma, learned Counsel for the Petitioner, submits that the Petitioner has filed a petition in I.P. No. 13 of 2026 seeking to be declared as an insolvent. She further submits that the police, at the behest of the unofficial Respondents, have been calling the Petitioner to the police station and subjecting him to coercive measures.

4. Sri P. Ajay Babu, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, on written instructions, submits that Respondent No. 12 has submitted a PGRS complaint, based on which the police conducted a preliminary inquiry, and in that connection, the Petitioner was called to the police station. He further submits that, apart from this, the allegations made by the Petitioner in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition are false.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, and recording the submissions of the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the Writ Petition is disposed of directing henceforth the Respondent police not to interfere, in the

civil dispute pending between the Petitioner and the unofficial Respondents, without following due process of law.

6. In the result, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending shall stand closed.

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 30.04.2026
KMS

108

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

Writ PETITION No.12553 of 2026

Date: 30.04.2026

KMS