



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3558]

FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1793/2026

Between:

1. OGIRALA VENKATA SAI SUNIL MANOHAR, S/O. SESHU KUMAR,
AGED ABOUT. 40 YEARS, PRIVATE EMPLOYEE, R/O.NO.166, RTC
COLONY, 4TH ROAD, PATAMATA, VIJAYAWADA - 520010.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. OGIRALA CHORAGUDI KRISHNA SWOMYA, W/o. Ogirala Venkata
Sai Sunil Manohar, D/o. Choragudi Gopi Krishna, Aged about. 35 Years,
Private Employee and Housewife, D.No.8-78/4, Saibaba Temple, C/o.
Priya Foods Offset, Poranki, Krishna District-521137. (Also residing at.
31, MSP Mango Meadows, Ph 2, 1st Cross Road, Nimekaipura Road,
Budigere Cross, Bommenahalli, Bangalore - 560049)

...CONTEMNOR

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to Initiate contempt proceedings against the Respondent/Contemnor, Ogirala @ Choragudi Krishna Swomya, for her wilful, deliberate, and contumacious violation of the order dated 07.01.2026 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P. No. 36437 of 2025 and Punish the Respondent/Contemnors for committing Civil and Criminal Contempt in accordance with the provisions of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, and Article 215 of the Constitution of India Pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V.RAVINDHAR

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1.

The Court made the following:**ORDER:** *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice Cheekati Manavendranath Roy)*

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. As per order dated 07.01.2026, passed in writ petition No.36437 of 2025, this Court passed an interim order, directing respondent No.4 therein, not to leave the Country, along with the corpus, without prior permission of this Court.

3. Alleging that respondent No.4 has been leaving the Country without prior permission of the Court, with the corpus that the present contempt case is filed.

4. However, at the time of hearing this contempt case, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that respondent No.4 is now not leaving the Country with the corpus and she is very much available in the Country.

5. Therefore, recording the said submission, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE CHEEKATI MANAVENDRANATH ROY

JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

Date: 01.05.2026
MDP