

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
(SPECIAL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION)**

**THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**



: PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 12356 OF 2026

Between:

M/s.Trans Express Logistics (A transport business entity), rep by its Proprietor S.Maddileti Reddy, S/o.S.Maddileti Reddy, aged 36 years, 2-8-1/1 ,Opposite Town police station, Main road, Allagadda, Nandyal (Dt)

Petitioner

AND

1. Andhra Pradesh State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited (APSCSCL), Head Office 10-152/1, Ashok Nagar, Bandar Road, rep. by its Vice Chairman-cum-Managing Director Vijayawada, NTR District-520007, ✓
2. The Joint Collector Cum Ex-Officio Executive Director, APSCSCL, Nandyal District, Nandyal-518502 ✓
3. The District Civil Supplies Manager, APSCSCL, Nardyal District, Nandyal-518502 ✓
4. M/s Sri Balaji Lorry Transport, Rep. by its Managing partner, K. Venkata Ramana, D.No.4/128, Lakshman Singh Nagar, Venkataraman Colony, Kurnool, Kurnool District-518006 ✓
5. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Civil Supplies department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District-522238

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the impugned work orders of the 3rd respondent in Lr.No:PDS/Jowar/2025-26, dated 25.04.2026 by superseding the work orders issued in favour of the petitioner vide Proc.No: PDS/Jowar/2025-26, dated 14.04.2026 and allotting the transport of jowar to the 4th respondent M/s. Balaji Lorry transport, Kurnool under the guise of revised guidelines though petitioner already changed his position and got ready for transportation of Jowar by incurring huge expenditure and revised guidelines can only be prospective and do not envisage to disturb the contract work already allotted, as illegal, arbitrary, colorable exercise of power by succumbing to political pressures in violation of Article 14, 19 (1)(g) and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently set-aside aforesaid impugned orders of the 3rd respondent.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the respondents to forthwith allow the petitioner to transport Jowar in Nandyal district in pursuance to the work order of the 2nd respondent vide Proc.No:PDS/Jowar/2025-26, dated 14.04.2026 by suspending the operation of the impugned work orders of the 3rd respondent in Lr.No:PDS/Jowar/2025-26, dated 25.04.2026, Pending disposal of WP No.12356 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K Rathanga Pani Reddy, Advocate for the Petitioner, and of Sri Md Saleem,

Standing Counsel for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3, and of GP for Civil Supplies for Respondent No.5, the Court made the following.

ORDER

1. Heard Sri K.Rathanga Pani Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Md. Saleem, learned Standing Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a Stage-I Transport Contractor with sufficient experience.

3. The 2nd respondent issued a work order vide Proc.No.PDS/Jowar/2025-26 dated 14.04.2026 for procurement of Jowar during the Rabi Marketing Season 2025-2026—Stage-I transportation from Farm Gate/RSKs to MLS Points/Buffer Godowns/Inter-District destinations. ✓

4. He further elaborated that in the said work order, the 2nd respondent, after considering the suitability and past experience of the petitioner only, issued the work order (Ex.P.12), stipulating conditions such as Installation of GPS, API Integration (Mandatory), Adequate Deployment of vehicles (Mandatory), Truck Chit System, Operational Discipline, etc. ✓

5. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that, in pursuance of the said work order dt:14.04.2026, the petitioner has made all necessary arrangements by incurring huge expenditure and is ready to commence operations. ✓

6. It is also contended that the 3rd respondent, without issuing any prior notice, unilaterally issued another work order vide Lr.No.PDS/Jowar/2025-26 dated 25.04.2026 in respect of the very same subject work in favour of the 4th respondent by superseding the earlier work order dt:14.04.2026 issued to the petitioner (Ex.P.1).

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner further asserts that the said action of the 3rd respondent is contrary to the principles of natural justice, as no prior notice was given to the petitioner. It also urged that when the earlier work order dated 14.04.2026 is still subsisting and has neither been set aside nor modified, issuance of subsequent work order dt:25.04.2026 in favour of the un-official respondent is arbitrary.

8. Learned counsel also placed reliance on the guidelines issued by the 1st respondent vide Lr.No.Proc./M2/KMS-2025-26 dated 24.04.2026, which are in support of his case but not as projected in the impugned orders.

9. It is further submitted that even such guidelines operate prospectively and not retrospectively. Additional grounds, including loss to the public exchequer and unjust enrichment are also urged.

10. Conversely, Sri Md. Saleem, learned Standing Counsel seeks time to obtain written instructions in the matter.

11. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, it is not in dispute that the work order dated 14.04.2026 stands in the name of the petitioner, which mandated the petitioner to go for necessary arrangements in establishing the required infrastructure components, including GPS, API integration, and adequate deployment of vehicles.

12. It is relevant to note that the guidelines issued by the 1st respondent vide Lr.No.Proc./M2/KMS-2025-26 dated 24.04.2026 provide as follows: ✓

ii. Preference shall be given to the already appointed Paddy Transport Contractors in the concerned district for undertaking transportation of coarse grains. ✓

iii. Wherever the Paddy Transport Contractors are not appointed or are not willing to undertake transportation of coarse grains, the concerned Joint Collector & EOED may engage any other willing contractor at the approved paddy transportation rates. ✓

In fact, the above guidelines also support the petitioner's assertions as he being the existing transport contractor. ✓

13. Once the said work order is subsisting, issuance of subsequent work order in favour of the unofficial respondent, without prior notice to the petitioner and also without setting aside the earlier work order, prima facie appears to be in violation of principles of natural justice. ✓

14. In this context, it is also appropriate to refer to the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court in *Krishnadatt Awasthi vs. State of Madhya Pradesh* [(2025) 7 SCC 545], which emphasizes the importance of adherence to the principles of natural justice in both quasi-judicial and administrative actions. ✓

15. The respondent authorities, being the officers of instrumentalities of State, are bound to follow the principles of natural justice in its true letter and spirit.

16. It is also brought to the notice of this Court that, as on date, the work order issued in favour of the 4th respondent has not yet been commenced. ✓

17. In view of the above, there shall be an interim direction as prayed for, for a period of eight (08) weeks. Meanwhile, the respondents are directed to file a comprehensive counter addressing all the issues raised in the writ affidavit. ✓

18. Petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on the 4th respondent through speed post and file proof of service by the next date of listing.

SD/- K. KASIRAO ACHARI
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
NB
SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. The Vice Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Andhra Pradesh State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited (APSCSCL), Head Office 10-152/1, Ashok Nagar, Bandar Road, Vijayawada, NTR District-520007
2. The Joint Collector Cum Ex-Officio Executive Director, APSCSCL, Nandyal District, Nandyal-518502
3. The District Civil Supplies Manager, APSCSCL, Nardyal District, Nandyal-518502
4. The Managing partner, M/s Sri Balaji Lorry Transport, K.Venkata Ramana, D.No. 4/128, Lakshman Singh Nagar, Venkataraman Colony, Kurnool, Kurnool District-518006

5. The Principal Secretary, State of Andhra Pradesh, Civil Supplies department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District-522238 (1 to 5 by RPAD)
6. One CC to Sri K Rathanga Pani Reddy, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

MRK, J

DATED: 30/04/2026

ORDER

WP.No.12356 of 2026

INTERIM DIRECTION

