

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

FRIDAY, THE NINETEENTH DAY OF JUNE

TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TUHIN KUMAR GEDELA

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3540 OF 2020

Between:

Koneru Jaya Lalitha, W/o Sankara Rao, Aged 50 years, Kamma, D.No. 55-6-16, Krishna Mandir Road, Venkoji palem, Vishakhapatnam.

Petitioner/Accused

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by SHO Suryaraopet Police Station, Through the Public Prosecutor, High Court of A.P, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. Chagralamudi Madhu Babu, S/o Ratna Kishore, Kamma, R/o D. No 38-8-58, Vijaya Traders, Opposite PWD Grounds, M G Road, Vijayawada.

Respondent/Complainant

Petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to allow the present criminal petition by quashing the CC No. 169/2017 in crime no 274/2011 on the file of Suryaraopet P.S, Krishna District for the offenses punishable U/s 420 I.P.C, Sec 103,104 of Trade Marks Act 1999 and sec 63 of copy right act 1957.

IA NO: 2 OF 2020

Petition under Section 482 of Cr P C, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings including the appearance of the petitioner herein in cc No. 169/2017 in crime no 274/2011 on the file of Suryaraopet P.S, Krishna District for the offenses punishable U/s 420 I.P.C,

Sec 103,104 of Trade Marks Act 1999 and sec 63 of copy right act 1957, Pending disposal of CRLP 354Q of 2020, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier orders of the High Court order dated 02.09.2020, 17.12.2025, 03.03.2026 & made herein and upon hearing the arguments of SRI KILARU NITHIN KRISHNA Advocate for the Petitioner, PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent No.1 and of M/s G DIVYA THEJA Advocate for the Respondent No.2 and the Court made the following.

ORDER

Mr.Pavan, learned counsel representing Mr.Kilaru Nithin Krishna, learned counsel for the petitioner, requested a short adjournment on the ground that Senior Counsel is engaged in the present matter.

On the other hand, Mr.Venkateswarlu Posani, learned Senior Counsel appearing for respondent No.2, reported ready to proceed with the matter.

Post the matter next week.

Interim order granted earlier is extended for a period of two (02) weeks.

**SD/- P.S.V.R.SEKHAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR
SECTION OFFICER**

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. One CC to SRI. KILARU NITHIN KRISHNA, Advocate [OPUC]
1. Two CCs to PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
2. One CC to M/s G DIVYA THEJA, Advocate [OPUC]
3. One spare copy

HIGH COURT

GTK,J

DATED:19.06.2026

POST THE MATTER NEXT WEEK

ORDER

CRLP.No.3540 of 2020

INTERIM ORDER EXTENDED

