

APHC010235192025



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3328]

THURSDAY ,THE EIGHTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA
PRASAD**

WRIT PETITION NO: 12494/2025

Between:

- 1.TENNETI RAJU, AGED ABOUT 24 YEARS, OCC CULTIVATION, R/O D.NO. 4-263, K.P. PAIEM VILLAGE, MOGALTHUR MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

- 1.THE STATE OF AP, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
- 2.THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR PANCHAYAT WING, BHIMAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
- 3.THE DISTRICT PANCHAYAT OFFICER, BHIMAVARAM, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
- 4.THE K P PAIEM NORTH GRAM PANCHAYAT, REP BY ITS PANCHAYAT SECRETARY CUM EXECUTIVE AUTHORITY, K.P. PAIEM NORTH VILLAGE, MOGALTHUR MANDAL, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
- 5.THE TAHSILDAR MOGALTHUR, MANDAL WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT. 6.
- 6.THE MANDAL SURVEYOR, , MOGALTHUR MANDAL WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
- 7.UPPULURI SWAMY NAIDU SATYANARAYANA, S/O. NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER. AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS, R/O. NEAR SAI BABA TEMPLE K.P. PAIEM VILLAGE, MOGALTHUR MANDAL, WEST

GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.V VIJAYA VARDHAN

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

The Court made the following:

ORAL ORDER:

Heard Sri V. Vijaya Vardhan, learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner, Sri P. Rajesh Kumar, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj, Sri T. Sanjeeva Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue and Sri Chaitanya, learned Counsel representing Sri Y. Koteswara Rao, learned Standing Counsel for the Respondent No.4.

2. Learned Counsel for the Writ Petitioner has drawn the attention of this Court to the D-form Patta held by the mother of the Writ Petitioner for an extent of Ac.0.25 cents in R.S.No.251 of K.P. Palem Village dated 09.08.2010 (Ex.P.2).

3. Sri T. Sanjeeva Rao, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue seeks time to obtain Written Instructions and also to verify the correctness of the D-form Patta dated 09.08.2010 (Ex.P.2).

4. In view of the D-form Patta held by the mother of the Writ Petitioner dated 09.08.2010 (Ex.P.2), this Court is of the opinion that the balance of convenience, *prima facie*, is in favour of the Writ Petitioner. Accordingly, there shall be a direction to all the parties to maintain *Status-quo* obtaining as on today, till the next listing.

5. List the matter on 07.07.2025 in the motion list.

GANNAMANENI RAMAKRISHNA PRASAD, J

Dt: 08.05.2025

Vns

