



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3458]

WEDNESDAY, THE 5th DAY OF AUGUST 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO: 12483 OF 2026

Between:

1. JOSEPH SRIHARSHA AND MARY INDRAJA EDUCATIONAL SOCIETY, REGD. NO. 6624/96, PLOT NO. 102, HIGH COURT COLONY, VANASTHALIPURAM, HYDERABAD - 500 070, REPRESENTED BY ITS HON. CHAIRMAN AND CORRESPONDENT DR. REV. K.V.K. RAO.
2. ST. MARY'S GROUP OF INSTITUTIONS FOR WOMEN,, CHEBROLU, GUNTUR DISTRICT - 522 212, ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS HON. CHAIRMAN AND CORRESPONDENT DR. REV. K.V.K. RAO.
3. ST. MARY'S WOMEN'S ENGINEERING COLLEGE,, BUDAMPADU GUNTUR 522 017, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN AND CORRESPONDENT DR. REV. K.V.K. RAO.
4. DR. REV. K.V.K. RAO,, S/O. LATE VENKAIAH, AGED ABOUT 64 YEARS. PLOT NO. 102, HIGH COURT COLONY, VANASTHALIPURAM, HYDERABAD - 500 070, TELANGANA.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI. 522503
2. JAWAHARLAL NEHRU TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY, KAKINADA, REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, KAKINADA - 533 003 ANDHRA PRADESH.

3.ANDHRA PRADESH STATE COUNCIL OF HIGHER EDUCATION
APSCHE, NEELADRI TOWERS, 6TH BATTALION ROAD,
MANGALAGIRI, ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY.522503

4.THE ALL INDIA COUNCIL FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION, NELSON
MANDELA MARG, VASANT KUNJ, NEW DELHI - 110070,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MEMBER SECRETARY.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.ASHOK RAM V

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HIGHER EDUCATION

2.CH.S.V.SURAJ SC For JNTU KAKINADA

3.K RADHIKA

The Court made the following order:

Heard Sri V.Ashok Ram, learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Higher Education appearing for the respondent No.1, Sri Ch.S.V.Suraj, learned Standing Counsel for JNTU Kakinada appearing for respondent No.2 and Smt. K.Radhika, learned counsel for respondent No.3.

2. Challenging the action of the respondents in not considering the representations dated 16.04.2026 and 18.04.2026 filed by the petitioners, the instant writ petition is filed and sought a consequential direction to consider and pass speaking orders on the said representation.

3. The 2nd respondent filed counter-affidavit stating that the representations dated 16.04.2026 and 18.04.2026 have already been considered and disposed of and the proceedings have also been communicated to the petitioners.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks liberty to challenge the said proceedings.
5. Having regard to the above, the relief sought in the present Writ Petition does not survive for consideration, as the representations dated 16.04.2026 and 18.04.2026 have already been considered, and no further adjudication is necessary.
6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of as infructuous, granting liberty to the petitioners to challenge the proceedings passed pursuant to the representations dated 16.04.2026 and 18.04.2026. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

Date: 05.08.2026
BSK

12

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE KIRANMAYEE MANDAVA

WRIT PETITION NO: 12483 OF 2026

Date: 05.08.2026

BSK