



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3504]

MONDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 11380/2013

Between:

1.V.V. PEERAI AH, S/O TIRUPALAI AH, AGED 40 YEARS,
SHANKARAVARAM VILLAGE, RANGASAMUDRAM MANDAL,
RAJAMPETA, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE DIRECTOR, KHADI AND VILLAGE INDUSTRIAL
COMMISSION, STATE OFFICE, NEAR GANDHI BHAVAN, M.J. ROAD,
NAMPALLY, HYDERABAD

2.MANAGER, A.P. KHADI & VILLAGE INDUSTRIES NEAR LAXMI
RANGA THEATRE, LAXMI NAGAR CIRCLE, YSR DISTRICT, KADAPA

3.SRI RAJESHWARI KHADI GRAMA UDYOGA SANGHAM,
PORUMAMILLA MANDAL, RAJAMPET, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY SMT. P.KISTAMMA

4.CIRCLE INSPECTOR, PORUMAMILLA P.S. PORUMAMILLA MANDAL,
RAJAMPET, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT

5.TAHSILDAR PORUMAMILLA MANDAL, RAJAMPET, YSR KADAPA
DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus or otherwise declaring the action of the 2nd and 31a in interfering with the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the scheduled property in Sy.No.59/5 admeasuring Ac.1.44 cents situated in Rangasamudram Village, Porumilla Mandal, Kadapa District, as arbitrary, illegal, discriminatory violating Article 14,

16 and 21 of the Constitution of India and issue consequential directions, restraining the respondents, particularly the 2nd and 3rd respondent from interfering the peaceful possession and enjoyment of the scheduled property in Sy.No.59/5 admeasuring Ac.1.44 cents situated in Rangasamudram Village, Porumilla Mandal, Kadapa District, and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. S V INDIRA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. RAJENDRA BUSSA

2. GP FOR HOME

3. GP FOR REVENUE

4. SREEKANTH REDDY AMBATI

5. G KOTINAGULU

6. M A MUJEEB

The court made the following ORDER:

On 04.01.2024 and 04.02.2026, there was no representation for the petitioner. Again, on 09.02.2026, at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the matter is listed today under the caption for dismissal.

2. When the matter was called today in the morning session, no one appeared for the petitioner. However, in order to give one more opportunity, the matter was passed over.

3. Even at the revised call in the afternoon session at 2.37 p.m., there is no representation for the petitioner.

4. Sri Rajendra Bussa, learned counsel for the 1st respondent, is present.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. There shall be no order as to costs. As a sequel, all pending applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 16.02.2026
GVK

68

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION No.11380 of 2013

Date: 16.02.2026

GVK