

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI ✓

THURSDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF MAY,
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX ✓

:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA ✓

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3654 OF 2026 ✓

Between:

Tahjeeb Alam, S/o. Tasleem Ahmed, aged about 36 years, R/o. Didauliu,
Jyotiba Phule Nagar, Uttar Pradesh - 244222.

...Petitioner/Accused No.4 ✓

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Public Prosecutor, High Court of
Andhra Pradesh at Amaravati.

...Respondent ✓

Petition under Section 439(1) of Cr.P.C and Section 483(1) of BNSS, praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed in support of the Criminal Petition, the High Court may be pleased to modify the order passed by the X Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Anakapalli in CrI.M.P. No.48/2026 in N.S.C. No. 262/2021 dated 08.04.2026 by considering the situation of the Petitioner/Accused No.4 as **"Petitioner/A4 is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of personal bond for Rs.10,000/- with single surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the Hon'ble V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Anakapalli"** instead of "Petitioner/A4 to be released on bail on his execution of personal bond for Rs.20,000/- with two sureties and permit the Petitioner/Accused No.4 to deposit the surety bond amount of Rs.10,000/- with single surety instead of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties".

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the grounds filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri Gollapalli Maheswara Rao, Advocate for the Petitioner and of Public Prosecutor for the Respondent, the Court made the following

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA
CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 3654 OF 2026

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition, has been filed by the petitioner herein/Accused No.4, seeking to modify the order passed by the learned X Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Anakapalli in CrJ.M.P. No.48 of 2026 in N.S.C. No.262/2021 dated 08.04.2026 by considering the situation of the Petitioner/Accused No.4 as "Petitioner/A4 is ordered to be released on bail on his execution of personal bond for Rs.10,000/- with single surety for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Anakapalli instead of "Petitioner/A4 to be released on bail on his execution of personal bond for Rs.20,000/- with two sureties and permit the Petitioner/Accused No.4 to deposit the surety bond amount of Rs.10,000/- with single surety instead of Rs.20,000/- with two sureties.

2. Heard Sri G.Maheswara Rao, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms.K.Priyanka Lakshmi, learned Assistant Public Prosecutor representing on behalf of the State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner herein is arrayed as Accused No.4 in N.S.C.No.262 of 2021 for the offences punishable under Sections 20(b)(ii)(c) r/w 8(c) of the NDPS Act, on the file of the learned X Additional District and Sessions Judge, Anakapalli. The petitioner was arrested on execution of a Non-Bailable Warrant on 19.03.2026 and since then has been in judicial custody. Learned counsel would further

submit that the petitioner filed a petition for regular bail in CrI.M.P.No.48 of 2026 and the same was allowed vide order dated 08.04.2026. The Trial Court, in its order, directed the petitioner/Accused No.4 to execute a bond for Rs.20,000/- with two sureties for a like sum each, and imposed a condition that the petitioner shall attend before the Court on each and every adjournment without fail. Learned counsel would further submit that the petitioner belongs to a poor family and is not in a position to secure sureties having properties worth Rs.20,000/-. Moreover, the petitioner is not earning any income, is solely dependent on his family, and is living below the poverty line. Therefore, it is difficult for him to comply with the condition imposed by the Trial Court.

4. Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor would submit that the Court may pass appropriate orders.

5. Considering the submissions made and upon perusal of the material on record, this Court is of the view that the petitioner is a poor person and, though bail has already been granted, he is unable to produce sufficient sureties. In that view of the matter, this Court is inclined to modify the order of the Trial Court to the extent that, the petitioner/A4 is ordered to be released on bail on execution of a personal bond for Rs.10,000/- with a single surety for a like sum each to the satisfaction of the learned V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Anakapalli. The other conditions imposed by the Trial Court in CrI.M.P. No.48 of 2026 in N.S.C. No.262/2021 dated 08.04.2026 shall remain unaltered.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Petition is allowed.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous applications, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

//TRUE COPY//

**SD/- P.S.V.R.SEKHAR
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR**

SECTION OFFICER

To,

1. The V Metropolitan Magistrate Court, Anakapalli.
2. The X Additional District and Sessions Judge, Anakapalli.
3. One CC to Sri Gollapalli Maheswara Rao, Advocate [OPUC]
4. Two CCs to Public Prosecutor, High Court of AP, Amaravati. [OUT]
5. One spare copy.

PSD

HIGH COURT

DR.VJP,J

DATE:07/05/2026

BAIL ORDER

CRLP.No.3654 of 2026

ALLOWED

