



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3233]

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE B KRISHNA MOHAN

WRIT PETITION NO: 12129/2026

Between:

1. CHINNA NAGAMMA, , W/O LATE O. NARASIMHULU, D. NO. 1-251,
KURUBAVANDLAPALLI, PENUKONDA (M), SRI SATHYA SAI
DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 515 164. AADHAAR NO. 2986 4448
8134

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, REVENUE (LAND ADMINISTRATION) DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, ANDHRA PRADESH. 522238
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT,
PUTTAPARTHY, ANDHRA PRADESH.- 515134
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PENUKONDA DIVISION, SRI
SATHYA SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.- 515110
4. THE TAHSILDAR, PENUKONDA TOWN AND MANDAL, SRI SATHYA
SAI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.- 515110
5. THE DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER IRRIGATION, I.B. SECTION,
PENUKONDATOWN AND MANDAL, SRI SATHYA SAI DISTRICT,
ANDHRA PRADESH. - 515110

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the
circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased topleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly a one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents No. 3 to 5 in interfering with the petitioner's land in Survey No. 635 an extent of Ac. 3.04 cents of Yerramanchi Fields, Penukonda Mandal, without following due process of laws is illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of articles 14, 21 and 300-A of the constitution of India against the rules and regulation contemplated in Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013, and consequentially to direct the Respondents No. 3 to 5 herein to not to interfere with the petitioner's property of lands in Survey No. 635 an extent of Ac. 3.04 cents of Yerramanchi Fields, Penukonda Mandal

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No. 3 & 5 not to interfere with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment of the land in Survey No. 635 an extent Ac. 3.04 cents of Yerramanchi Fields, Penukonda Mandal, without following due process of law pending disposal of the above writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.S LAKSHMI PRAMEELA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR REVENUE

2.GP FOR IRRI AND CAD

The Court made the following Order:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Government Pleaders appearing for the respondents.

2. This writ petition is filed questioning the action of the respondent Nos.3 to 5 in interfering with the petitioner's land in an extent of Ac.3.04 cents in Sy.No.635 of Yerramanchi Fields, Penukonda Mandal, without following the due process of law.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the authorities are planning to dig an irrigation channel of 30 feet width from the Kurubavandlapalli Tank to the Motorpalli Tank. The proposed alignment of this channel is likely to pass through the petitioner's schedule land. If the proposed channel is routed through the petitioner's land, she will lose an extent of approximately Ac.0.83 cents and the total extent of the land is threatened to be acquired and it is approximately Rs.45 lakhs per acre as per the market value.

4. On the other hand, the learned Assistant Government Pleaders appearing for the respondents submit that if the petitioner's subject land is required for any public purpose, the authorities concerned will follow the due procedure.

5. In view of the above said facts and circumstances, the respondent Nos.2 to 5 are directed to deal with the subject land in an extent of Ac.3.04

cents in Sy.No.635 of Yerramanchi Fields, Penukonda Mandal, strictly in accordance with law if so warranted for any public purpose. The genuinity of the claim of the petitioner shall be verified in accordance with law with reference to the subject land.

6. Accordingly, this Writ Petition is disposed of. Interim order if any deemed to have been vacated. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE B. KRISHNA MOHAN

29.04.2026
PGT