

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No.: W.P.No.12034 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	29.04.2026	<u>DR, J</u> The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the impugned action of the respondents is not only contrary to the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.17433 of 2024 dated 28.01.2026 but also contrary to the Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1991 (for short, 'the CCA Rules'). In fact, there is no provision in the CCA Rules contemplating the appointment of a second enquiry officer and the first enquiry officer has conducted an enquiry and submitted a report. After submitting the report, if the respondents are not satisfied with the findings, they may defer by giving separate reasons, but they are not entitled to appoint another enquiry officer. Considering the said submissions, there shall be stay of all further proceedings pursuant to the notice dated 24.04.2026. Issue notice to the 4th respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the 4th respondent by RPAD and file proof of service in the Registry. List the matter after Summer Vacation, 2026. _____ DR, J lvd	

--	--	--	--

