

(SHOW CAUSE NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
FRIDAY, THE FIRST DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX



:PRESENT:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE RAVI NATH TILHARI

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1349 OF 2026

Between:

1. Natra Venkata Lakshmi, (Died on 13.4.2026)
2. Varalalakshmi, D/o Late Appala Raju 32 years, D.No.21-5-3, RH Colony, Seethanagaram Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam.
3. S.Keerthi, D/o Late Appala Raju, 31 years R/o D.No.21-5-3, RH Colony, Seethanagaram Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam.
4. M. Durga, D/o Late Appala Raju, 30 years, R/o D.No.21-5-3, RH Colony, Seethanagaram, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam. (Petitioners No.2 to 4 are also L.Rs. of the deceased petitioner No.1)

Petitioners/ Petitioners/Defendants

(Petitioners No.2 to 4 are also L.Rs. of the deceased petitioner No.1)

AND

Satti Venkat Kumar, S/o Chinna Rao, 37 years, D.No.21-39-12, RH Colony, Seethanagaram, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam.

Respondent/Respondent/Plaintiff

WHEREAS the Petitioner above named through his Advocate Sri PARDHA SARADHI A V, presented this Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to set aside the impugned order dated 09.3.2026 passed in I.A.No.247 of 2025 in O.S.No.21 of 2023 by the Principal Civil Judge (Senior Division), Gajuwaka, and to allow the said I.A. filed under Section 45 of the Indian Evidence Act and direct the trial Court to

send the disputed promissory note marked in Ex.AI in the trial Court to any recognised Laboratory for opinion of Expert;

AND WHEREAS the High Court upon perusing the petition and memorandum of grounds filed herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri PARDHA SARADHI A V, Advocate for the Petitioners, directed issue of notice to the Respondents herein to show cause as to why this CIVIL REVISION PETITION should not be admitted.

You viz:

Satti Venkat Kumar, S/o Chinna Rao, 37 years, D.No.21-39-12,RH Colony, Seethanagaram, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam.

are be and hereby directed to show cause either appearing in person or through an Advocate, as to why in the circumstances set out in the petition and the affidavit filed therewith (copy enclosed) this CIVIL REVISION PETITION should not be admitted, on or before 03.07.2026, on which date the case stands posted for hearing.

The Court made the following ORDER:

“The petitioners/defendants application in I.A.No.247 of 2025 in O.S.No.21 of 2023, filed by the respondent/plaintiff for recovery of money based on the promissory note, to send the disputed signatures of the petitioners for expert examination, was rejected by order dated 09.03.2026. Challenging the same, the present Civil Revision Petition has been filed.

2. Issue notice to the respondent.

3. In addition to the normal mode of service, the appellant is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent by Registered Post and Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service in the Registry before the next date of listing.

4. List on 03.07.2026.”

SD/- P.BRAHMA RAO
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

For ,

To,

1. Satti Venkat Kumar, S/o Chinna Rao, 37 years, D.No.21-39-12,RH Colony, Seethanagaram, Pedagantyada, Visakhapatnam. (by RPAD- along with a copy of petition and memorandum of grounds)
2. One CC to SRI. PARDHA SARADHI A V Advocate [OPUC]
3. One spare copy

KJ

HIGH COURT

RNT,J

DATED:01/05/2026

LIST ON 03.07.2026

NOTICE BEFORE ADMISSION

CRP.No.1349 of 2026

