

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH:: AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No. Tr.C.M.P.No.142 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	Date	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	01.05.2026	<u>VGKR, J</u> <u>I.A.No.1 of 2026</u> Heard Sri Gunda Gopi Krishna, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Sri Bandaru Srinu, learned counsel for the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is none other than the wife of the respondent/husband, due to the matrimonial disputes between both the parties, the petitioner/wife is residing separately at Vijayawada, in her parent's house. The petitioner pleaded that she had lodged a complaint before the Machavaram Police Station, for the offences punishable under Section 85 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 and under Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, and Section 22 of the Protection of Women From Domestic Violence Act, 2005 <i>vide</i> D.V.C.No.53 of 2026 on the file of the learned I Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Vijayawada, and the same is pending for adjudication. The petitioner/wife further	

		pleaded that she had filed a Maintenance case <i>vide</i> F.C.O.P.No.865 of 2026 on the file of the learned XIV Additional District and Sessions Judge, Vijayawada and she also filed a 498-A Case <i>vide</i> C.C.No.2312 of 2026 on the file of the learned IV Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Vijayawada, and the respondent/husband is attending the Court proceedings in the aforesaid cases before the competent Courts at Vijayawada. Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that to cause unnecessary inconvenience to the petitioner/wife, the respondent/husband has filed H.M.O.P.No.117 of 2025, on the file of the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sattenapalli, under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, seeking for restitution of conjugal rights. Learned counsel for the petitioner would further contend the petitioner/wife is residing separately at her parents' house and depending upon the mercy of her parents. He would further contend that the distance in between Vijayawada and Sattenapalli is approximately more than 70kms and it is very difficult for the petitioner/wife to attend the restitution case proceedings before the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sattenapalli on each and every adjournment without any male assistance and	
--	--	---	--

		that she is constrained to file the present transfer petition. Perused the record. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and for the reasons mentioned in the accompanying affidavit, there shall be an interim stay on all further proceedings including the appearance of the petitioner i.e., the respondent in H.M.O.P.No.117 of 2025, on the file of the learned Civil Judge(Senior Division), Sattenapalli, till 18.06.2026. _____ VGKR, J <u>Tr.C.M.P.No.142 of 2026</u> Admit. Issue notice to the respondent. Learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice to the respondent through Registered Post with Acknowledgment Due and file proof of service before the Registry. Post the matter on 18.06.2026. _____ VGKR, J LSP	
--	--	---	--