



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

FRIDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1325/2025

Between:

G Peddanna,

...PETITIONER

AND

Mr P Sreeni Vasulu and Others

...CONTEMNOR(S)

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. KRISHNA RAO M

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. G RAJU

The Court made the following order:

The above contempt case is filed complaining about the non-implementation of the order dated 07.01.2025 in W.P.No.31072 of 2024.

The petitioner filed W.P.No.31072 of 2024, to declare the action of respondent authorities in not releasing the gratuity amount of Rs.6,31,000/- despite specific orders of the Deputy Inspector General of Registration and Stamp Department *vide* Lr.No.pension/100/201, dated 04.07.2024.

The said writ petition was disposed of, based upon the instructions of the Sub-Treasury Officer, Hindupur, directing respondents 3 and 4 to release the gratuity amount of Rs.6,31,000/-, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order.

The above contempt case has filed in April, 2025 and notice was ordered on 16.06.2025. On 22.08.2025, the bailable warrants were issued to respondents 1 & 2, since there was no representation, despite service of notice. Thereafter, respondents 1 & 2 appeared and the bailable warrants were recalled on 12.09.2025.

The above contempt case was listed on 26.09.2025 and was adjourned at request of learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

Though, a consent order was passed on 07.01.2025, for the reasons best known, the respondents failed to comply with the order. Failure of respondents in complying with the order, *prima-facie*, this Court is of the opinion that it is deliberate and intentional.

ADMIT.

The following charges are framed against the contemnors/respondents:

- 1) Whether the contemnors/respondents violated the order dated 07.01.2025 in W.P.No.31072 of 2024, deliberately and intentionally?
- 2) Whether the contemnors/respondents are liable to be punished under Sections 10 to 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act r/w Article 215 of the Constitution of India?

List the matter after four (04) weeks.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI