

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: W.P.No.11252 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	24.04.2026	<u>MRK, J</u> 1. Heard Sri Narasimha Rao Gudiseva, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Smt. Santhi Chandra, learned Standing Counsel for APIIC. 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, urges that the matter involves multiple and intricate questions, particularly emphasizing the violation of the principles of natural justice. 3. He further submits that the impugned order dated 06.03.2026, passed by the 3rd respondent, cancel the allotment made in favour of the petitioner on 25.02.2025 without issuing any prior notice. He also states that pursuant to the said allotment, the petitioner had executed the lease deed and paid 50% of the requisite amount to the respondents' Corporation. 4. The learned counsel also submits that the petitioner had commenced the work; however, due to administrative exigencies, the same could not be completed. In this regard, the petitioner submitted a representation dated 01.11.2025 seeking extension of time. 5. It is contended that the 3rd respondent, without considering the said representation in its	(contd..)

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		proper perspective and without assigning any cogent or comprehensive reasons, proceeded to cancel the allotment made in favour of the petitioner through the impugned order. 6. Conversely, the learned Standing Counsel submits that the impugned order is a reasoned one, passed strictly within the parameters of the lease deed and in accordance with the applicable terms and conditions. In nut-shell, she supports the impugned order. 7. A perusal of the impugned order (Ex.P1) reveals that it seems the 3rd respondent straight away cancelled the allotment without issuing any prior notice to the petitioner. The principles of natural justice, which have been consistently recognized by Apex Court as fundamental to administrative action right from <i>A.K. Kraipak and Others vs. Union of India and Others</i> [(1969) 2 SCC 262] to the recent judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Triveni Engineering and Industries Limited vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others</i> [(2026) 2 SCC 729]. 8. In light of the above facts and circumstances, there shall be suspension of the Lr.No.40653/APIIC/IP-Atchutapuram-Denotified Area/Special Projects/2021/1078 dt:06.03.2026 for a period of eight (08) weeks. 9. Post on 18.06.2026.	(contd...)

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		10. Meanwhile, the respondents are directed to file their respective counter-affidavits with all relevant details. RMR MRK, J	