

APHC010222722018



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3209]

TUESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

WRIT PETITION No: 9799 of 2018

Between:

1. PATIBANDLA SURYANARAYANA, S/O. APPAIAH, AGED 80 YEARS,
OCC. AGRICULTURE, R/O. GANI ATKUR VILLAGE,
KANCHIKACHERLA MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, LAND ACQUISITION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, MACHILIPATNAM, KRISHNA
DISTRICT.

3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, VIJAYAWADA, KRISHNA
DISTRICT.

4. THE TAHSILDAR, KANCHIKACHERLA MANDAL, KRISHNA
DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. Mr. P. NAGENDRA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR ASSIGNMENT (AP)

2. GP FOR REVENUE (AP)

The Court made the following Order:

Heard Mr.M.Kranthi Gireesh, learned counsel representing Mr.P.Nagendra Reddy, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The writ petition is filed by one Patibandla Suryanarayana seeking to declare the action of the respondents in attempting to dispossess the petitioner from an extent of Ac.1.82 cents situated in Survey No.78/2 of Gani Atkur Village, Kanchikacherla Mandal, without following due process of law and without initiating land acquisition proceedings under the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 as illegal, unjust, arbitrary and for consequential directions.

3. At the time of considering the matter, Mr.K.Arjun Chowdary, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue placed a copy of the written instructions dated 11.11.2025 received from the 4th respondent for perusal of the Court. As per the said instructions, the writ petitioner died on 15.12.2022 and the names of the petitioners' family members have been recorded as pattadars in respect of the above said extent. It is also mentioned that "there is no issue for the land acquisition for the said land and no proposals have been taken from this officer."

4. In the light of the above said instructions, the writ petition is closed. However, the legal representatives of the writ petitioner may work out their remedies in the event any fresh cause of action arises. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

NINALA JAYASURYA, J

Date: 11.11.2025
BLV

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

W.P.No.9799 of 2018

Date: 11.11.2025

BLV