



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3396]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 453/2026

Between:

1.MUTHUKUM SRINIVASULU, S/O RAMANJANE5NJILU, AGED ABOUT
48 YEARS,R/O D NO 13/94-2, KONDAPETA, BANAGANIPALLI
TOWN,NANDYAL DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH. 532 406

...PETITIONER

AND

1.THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PUBLIC
PROSECUTOR,HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT
AMARAVATI.

2.THE TAHSILDAR, RAJAMPETA MANDAL, ANNAMAYYA DISTRICT.
516115

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.VELADI SAI SRI HARSHA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA**CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 453 of 2026****Order:**

This Criminal Revision Case, filed under Sections 397 and 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Sections 438 read with 442 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, "the BNSS"), is preferred by the petitioner/accused, aggrieved by the order dated 28.10.2025 passed in CrI.M.P.No.185 of 2025 in CrI.A.No.10 of 2025 on the file of the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Kadapa.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor for the Respondents-State.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, aggrieved by the order passed by the learned Joint Collector, the petitioner preferred an appeal, which is presently pending before the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Kadapa. In the said appeal, the petitioner filed an application seeking interim custody of the property, namely 600 bags of rice, which were ordered to be confiscated vide proceedings dated 18.12.2024 in Ref.No.CS(2)/96/2024 by the learned Collector (CS), Annamayya District, Rayachoty. The learned Sessions Judge dismissed the said application on the ground that, in the event of failure of the appeal, it would be difficult to secure the property for the purpose of confiscation. It is further submitted that the petitioner is willing to furnish adequate sureties to the satisfaction of the Court and undertakes to abide by any conditions imposed.

4. The learned Assistant Public Prosecutor submits that appropriate orders may be passed in the matter.

5. Having considered the submissions made and taking into account that the petitioner seeks interim custody of 600 bags of rice seized by the Civil Supplies authorities, this Court is inclined to grant interim custody of the said stock to the petitioner, subject to appropriate conditions.

6. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is allowed, subject to the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall execute a personal bond for a sum of Rs.7,49,375/- (Rupees Seven Lakhs Forty-Nine Thousand Three Hundred and Seventy-Five only), being the value of the seized rice, along with two sureties for the like sum each, to the satisfaction of the learned Principal Sessions Judge, Kadapa.

(ii) In the event of confiscation of the property, subject to the result of the appeal, the petitioner shall deposit an amount equivalent to the value of the rice.

As a sequel thereto, the miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 24.04.2026
ARB

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 453/2026

Date: 24.04.2026

ARB