



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3573]

FRIDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

WRIT PETITION NO: 12727/2026

Between:

1. MACCHA PADMAVATHI, W/O (LATE) SATYANARAYANA, N 60 YEARS, OCC . HOUSE WIFE , SENIOR CITIZEN, R/O DOOR.NO. 70-14-4/7B, SIDDHARTHA NAGAR, KAKINADA, KAKINADA DIST A.P. 533003
2. MACCHA SARAT KUMAR, S/O (LATE) SATYANARAYANA, A/36 YEARS, OCC. PRIVATE EMPLOYEE, R/O DOOR.NO. 70-14-4/7B SIDDHARTHA NAGAR, KAKINADA , KAKINADA DIST A.P. 533003

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY. HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, AMARAVATHI, DIST ANDHRAPRADESH-522237
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, COLLECTOR OFFICE, KAKINADA DIST, KAKINADA, ANDHRAPRADESH-533004
3. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, S.P. OFFICE, KAKINADA DIST, KAKINADA-533004
4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, S.H.O SARPAVARAM P.S, KAKINADA DIST, KAKINADA-533005
5. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, S.H.O SARPVARAM P.S, KAKINADA DIST, KAKINADA-533005.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus A) To declare the actions of the 4th to 5th respondents were illegal and arbitrary and contrary to the law and violation of Article 21 and article 14 of Constitution of India and also B) To direct the 3rd respondent to take necessary action against 4 to 5th respondents for their illegal actions against myself and my son C) And consequently direct the 3rd respondent to consider the representation to the petitioner dated 10-04-2026. and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd respondent to consider the representation of the Petitioner dated 10.04.2026 and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.ANGADA SATYA RAGHAVENDRA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR HOME

2.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER

The present Writ Petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeing the following relief:

“to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus A) To declare the actions of the 4th to 5th respondents were illegal and arbitrary and contrary to the law and violation of Article 21 and article 14 of Constitution of India and also B) To direct the 3rd respondent to take necessary action against 4 to 5th respondents for their illegal actions against myself and my son C) And consequently direct the 3rd respondent to consider the representation to the petitioner dated 10-04-2026. and to pass other orders...”

2. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, representing Respondent Nos.1, 3, 4 and 5.

3. The petitioners' grievance is that respondent Nos.4 and 5 unnecessarily calling the petitioners to the police station without either issuing a notice or initiating any proceedings under law.

4. During the course of hearing, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for home, on written instructions dated 14.05.2026, submits that, the police officials of Sarpavaram Police Station acted only according to law and never interfered in the civil dispute between private parties. The allegation that the police attempted settlement or interfered in civil rights is false. Except making allegations in the affidavit, that the petitioners did not produce any document to show that they were called by police, the police visited their residence and their rights were violated.

5. Recording the same, the Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent authorities not to interfere with the petitioners' daily life and personal liberty, except by following due process of law. There shall be no order as to costs.

Miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

Date: 15.05.2026

SR

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE BALAJI MEDAMALLI

Writ Petition No: 12727 of 2026

Date: 15.05.2026

SR