

APHC010221212021



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3209]

THURSDAY, THE THIRTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY FIVE

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

CONTEMPT CASE No: 1070 of 2021

Between:

1. GUNTAKA KALAVATHI, W/O VENKATANARAYANA, AGED ABOUT
47 YEARS, R/O. IMMADICHERUVU VILLAGE, VELIGANDLA
MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. JWALA NARASIMHA RAO, WORKING AS TAHSILDAR, VELIGANDLA
MANDAL, PRAKASAM DISTRICT.

...CONTEMNOR

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. Ms. V. SOWMYA for Mr. K. JYOTHI PRASAD

Counsel for the Contemnor:

1. Mr. P. SUBASH

The Court made the following:

The present Contempt Case is filed alleging violation of the orders dated 05.02.2021 passed in W.P.No.2741 of 2021. The relevant portion of which reads as follows:

“As already the petitioner made a detailed representation, the 5th respondent is directed to take appropriate action on the said representation in accordance with law after giving due opportunity to the petitioner within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.”

At the time of considering the matter, the learned counsel representing the respondent submits that the representation of the petitioner was considered and the same was rejected *vide* Proceedings dated 30.06.2021.

The relevant portion of which reads as follows:

“As per para 5 (b) of proceedings of the Joint Collector, Ongole issued in D.Dis.E2/3177/2010 dated 25.06.2019 in respect of cases covered by Annexure-II, for which the Suo-moto revision is disallowed. The RDO, Kandukur shall conduct diligent enquiry and submit detailed report to the Joint Collector, in respect of each individual with specific grounds and evidence, for taking up the case for Suo-moto revision u/s of the A.P.Rights in Land and PPPBs Act, 1971. But the enquiry is not yet completed.

In view of the above, it is not possible to remove orange colour to the landed property measuring an extent of Ac.18.00 cents in Sy.No.50 of Immadicheruvu Village, Veligandla Mandal.

Hence the petition is hereby rejected.”

In view of the above stated position, the Contempt Case does not survive for adjudication and the same is Closed. Needless to observe that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the said proceedings, it is open to her to avail

the remedies in accordance with Law. No costs. Consequently, all pending applications, if any, shall stand closed.

Date: 13.11.2025
BLV

NINALA JAYASURYA, J

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE NINALA JAYASURYA

C.C.No.1070 of 2021

Dt: 13.11.2025

BLV

