

HIGHCOURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI

MAIN CASE No: CrI.P.No.3348 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

Sl. No.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	23.04.2026	<u>Dr.YLR,J</u> <u>I.A.No.01 of 2026</u> Heard. Dispensed with for the present. Dr.YLR,J <u>CrI.P.No.3348 of 2026</u> Heard learned counsel for Petitioner and learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Issue notice to the Respondent No.2. The learned counsel for the Petitioner is permitted to take out personal notice on Respondent No.2 and file proof to that effect. The learned counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner lawfully inherited Ac.1.50 cents in Sy.No.28/4 through his grandmother Sarojamma. Revenue records, Pattadar Passbook, and Title Deed stand in his name, duly mutated and valid. Municipal and Collector endorsements confirm the land as patta land, not municipal property. Further, in W.P.No.24437/2026, a learned Single Judge of this Court directed restoration of his digital signature, which continues to reflect his ownership. It appears that the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 1982 have not been properly followed by the de-facto complainant. Before lodging the complaint, no suit was filed or instituted by Respondent No.2. Respondent No.2, even though being	

		the Commissioner of Srikalahasti Municipality, is competent to institute a land grabbing suit. However, it appears that the prescribed procedure has not been followed. Until further orders, the Investigating Officer shall not take any coercive steps against the Petitioner. Post on 30.04.2026 in the Motion-list. Dr.YLR,J PRA	
--	--	--	--