

HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH :: AMARAVATHI

MAIN CASE NO: W.P.No.10937 of 2026

PROCEEDING SHEET

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
01.	23.04.2026	<u>MRK, J</u> 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that 5th respondent issued a communication in Lr. No. AEE/OS/Vatsavai/FNO./D. No. 11/2026 dt: 15.04.2026, wherein it was proposed to disconnect the electricity service earlier granted in favour of the petitioner on the basis of 'No Objection Certificate' issued by the concerned Revenue Authority. 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly contends that, prior to issuance of said communication dt:15.04.2026, no notice was served on the petitioner, and hence, the same is in violation of the principles of natural justice. 4. The petitioner further submits that the petitioner is aged about 60 years, is a farmer, and is exclusively depending on income derived from agriculture. It is also submitted that the petitioner is presently raising a crop in the subject property. 5. On the other hand, Sri Abdul Matheen, learned counsel representing Sri V.V. Satish, learned Standing Counsel for APEPDCL, seeks time to get instructions.	(contd..)

SL. NO.	DATE	ORDER	OFFICE NOTE
		6. Having regard to the above facts and circumstances, more particularly communication dt:15.04.2026 not reveals about factum of issuance of notice to the petitioner as the same is in violation of principles of natural justice. Added to that in view of well-settled legal principles laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in <i>Krishnadatt Awasthy vs. State of Madhya Pradesh and Others</i>, reported in (2025) 7 SCC 545, and <i>Triveni Engineering and Industries Limited vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and Others</i>, reported in (2026) 2 SCC 729, highlighting the significance of principles of natural justice, there shall be an interim direction as prayed for. 7. Post after two (02) weeks. _____ MRK, J RMR	