



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3239]

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE K SURESH REDDY

CIVIL REVISION PETITION NO: 1227/2026

Between:

- 1.KOPPARTHI SATHYANARAYANA, (DIED)
- 2.GUDIMETLA MUNI KRISHNAIAH,, S/O LATE CHINNA SETHAIAH, AGED ABOUT 65 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O.D.NO.840, BALAJI NAGAR, TIRUMALA, TIRUPATI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

- 1.RACHAPUTI VENKATA KRISHNA PRASAD, S/O.LATE SUBBARAYA GUPTA, AGED ABOUT 62 YEARS, OCC PRIVATE EMPLOYEE, R/O.D.NO.25-2-914, STREET, SAVITRI NAGAR, NELLORE-2, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT
- 2.RACHAPUTI MONIKA, D/O.VENKATA KRISHNA PRASAD, AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS R/O.D.NO.25-2-914, 1ST STREET, SAVITRI NAGAR, NELLORE-2, SPSR NELLORE DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India,praying that in the circumstances stated in the grounds filed herein,the High Court may be pleased topleased to allow the civil revision petition and setting aside the dismissal order passed in I.A.No. 76 of 2026 in O.S.No. 8 of 2015 on the file of the court of VII Additional District Judge Gudur SPSR Nellore District, dated 07.04.2026. and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant stay of all further proceedings in O.S.No. 8 of 2015 on the file of the court of VII Additional District Judge Gudur :: SPSR Nellore District, pending disposal of the Civil Revision Petition, in the interest of Justice and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1.SIVAPRASAD REDDY VENATI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.

The Court made the following Order:

Questioning the order dated 07.04.2026 in I.A.No.76/2026 in O.S.No.8 of 2015 passed by the learned VII Additional District Judge, Gudur, SPSR Nellore District, dismissing the petition under Order 14 Rule 5 r/w Section 151 CPC, the defendants filed the present Civil Revision Petition.

2. The respondents/plaintiffs filed the above suit for declaration of title and also for permanent injunction. Issues were framed in the above suit on 24.01.2019. The suit was filed in the year 2015. Thereafter, the trial of the case commenced and the suit posted for arguments. At that stage, the defendants filed the present application under Order 14 Rule 5 r/w Section 151 CPC to frame the proposed additional issues. The learned trial Judge dismissed the application holding that though the issues were framed in the year 2019, the defendants kept quiet till 2026.

3. As seen from the impugned order, there is no illegality or irregularity. Admittedly, the suit is filed in the year 2015 and the issues were framed on 24.01.2019. The trial also has been concluded and the suit is posted for arguments. At that stage, the defendants filed the present application.

Having kept quiet for a period of seven years, the petitioner came up with the present application in the year 2026, that too at the stage of arguments. As such, there are no merits in the present Civil Revision Petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, this Civil Revision Petition is dismissed at the admission stage. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, interlocutory applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

24.04.2026
MVA / RMD

K SURESH REDDY, J