



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)**

[3331]

**TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX**

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 10931/2026

Between:

1. JAMPANI RAJESWARI, W/O LATE RAMARAO, HINDU, AGED ABOUT
55 YEARS, R/O CHANDRALAPADU MANDAL, KODAVATIKALLU, ITR
DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-521182

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT, A.P. SECRETARIAT OFFICE, VELAGAPUDI,
AMARAVATI, ANDHRA PRADESH- 522238

2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL
SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE, A.P. SECRETARIAT
OFFICE, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, ANDHRA PRADESH-522238

3. THE COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT EXECUTIVE MAGISTRATE, NTR
DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH-520002

4. THE TAHSILDAR, CHANDARLAPADU MANDAL, NTR DISTRICT,
ANDHRA PRADESH-521182

5.THE KODAVATIKALLU GRAM PANCHAYAT, REPRESENTED BY ITS
PANCHAYAT SECRETARY, CHANDARLAPADU MANDAL, NTR
DISTRICT- 521182

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order, or direction, more particularly, one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS, declaring the action of the respondents, particularly, Respondent Nos. 4 and 5, in trying to dispossess the petitioner from the petitioner's agricultural land, bearing S. Y. Nos. 102-2, 103-1,103- 2, admeasuring Ac. 1.5800, 3.9800, 0.5100, at Kodavatikallu Village, Chandralapadu Mandal, NTR District, without following due process of law, arbitrary, capricious, whimsical, and inblatant violation of my fundamental and constitutional rights under Articles 14, 21, and 300- A of the Constitution of India, and consequently, direct the respondents, not to interfere with the petitioner's agricultural land, without following due process of law and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to dispossess the petitioner and not to interfere in any manner with the petitioner's peaceful possession and enjoyment thereof, pending disposal of the writ petition and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1.KARTHIK SHAH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1.GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ RURAL DEV

2.GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

::ORDER::

Heard Sri A. Tharun, learned counsel representing Sri Karthik Shah, learned counsel for the petitioner; Sri P. Rajesh Kumar, Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, for respondent No.1; Ms. P. Usha, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for respondents 2 to 4, and Sri Chaitanya, learned counsel representing Sri Y. Koteswar Rao, learned standing counsel for respondent No. 5.

2. The above writ petition was filed to declare the action of respondents 4 and 5 in attempting to dispossess the petitioner from agricultural lands admeasuring acres 1.5800 cents, Ac.3-9800 cents and Ac.0-5100 in survey Nos. 102-2, 103-1 and 103-2, respectively situated at Kodavatikallu Village, Chandralapadu Mandal, NTR District, without following due process of law, as illegal and arbitrary.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is the absolute owner and possessor of the aforementioned land, having acquired the same, partly by inheritance and partly by partition from her father. The revenue authorities also updated the Record of Rights (ROR). While so, respondents 4 and 5, without issuing any notice, are attempting to dispossess the petitioner from the subject lands.

4. In support of the petitioner's case, the petitioner filed copies of the partition deed, RoR-1(B) issued by the Tahsildar, Chandralapadu Mandal, Adangals and pattadar passbooks, as Exs.P1 to P4.

5. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue and Sri Chaitanya, learned counsel, submitted the instructions furnished by respondents 4 and 5,

respectively, and submitted that neither respondent No.4 nor respondent No.5 is interfering with the aforementioned lands. The written instructions are made part of the record.

6. Given the instructions of respondents 4 and 5, since the authorities are not interfering with the aforementioned properties, without going into the merits of the matter, this writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing respondents 4 and 5 not to interfere with the agricultural lands admeasuring acres 1.5800 cents, Ac.3-9800 cents and Ac.0-5100 in survey Nos. 102-2, 103-1 and 103-2, respectively, situated at Kodavatikallu Village, Chandralapadu Mandal, NTR District, without following due process of law. No costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

Date: 28.04.2026
IKN

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

W.P.No.10931 of 2026

Date: 28.04.2026
IKN